arXiv:1406.7381v3 [math.CV] 12 Aug 2015

REGULARITY OF $\bar{\partial}$ AND $\bar{\partial}_b$ ON PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}^2$

DARIUSH EHSANI

ABSTRACT. We reduce the problem of constructing a linear solution operator to the $\bar{\partial}$-equation on smoothly bounded weakly pseudoconvex domains, Ω, in $\mathbb{C}^2$ to the problem of the boundary $\bar{\partial}_b$-equation. We show there is a solution operator to $\bar{\partial}$ which is bounded as a map $W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \to W^s(\Omega)$ for all $s > 1/2$ if there is a corresponding solution operator to the $\bar{\partial}_b$-problem with analogous regularity properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

We investigate the regularity of solutions, u, to the $\bar{\partial}$-equation $\bar{\partial} u = f$, for $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(0,1)$-forms f on smoothly bounded weakly pseudoconvex domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Regularity of the forms and functions are measured in terms of Sobolev norms: we denote by $W^s(\Omega)$, respectively $W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega)$, the space of functions, respectively $(0,1)$-forms, whose derivatives of order $\leq s$ are in $L^2(\Omega)$. In the case of smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domains, the canonical solution (the solution of minimal L^2 norm) can be shown to provide a solution operator which preserves the Sobolev spaces, $W^s(\Omega)$ for all $s \geq 0$; estimates for the canonical solution are due to Kohn (see [9] and [10]). This is not the case in the situation of smoothly bounded weakly pseudoconvex domains as shown by Barrett in [1]. And it is not just a loss of derivatives which takes place; Christ has shown that the canonical solution may not even be in $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ even if the data form f is in $C^\infty_{(0,1)}(\overline{\Omega})$ [5].

On the other hand, using weighted Sobolev spaces, Kohn showed that for any given $s \geq 0$, there exists a weight ϕ and a solution operator $K_{s,\phi}$ (which depends on the weight as well as level of the Sobolev norm) such that $K_{s,\phi} : W^k(\Omega) \to W^k(\Omega)$ for all $k \leq s$ and such that $\bar{\partial} \circ K_{s,\phi} = I$ when restricted to $\bar{\partial}$-closed forms [11]. These operators can then be used to construct a solution operator which maps $C^\infty_{(0,1)}(\overline{\Omega})$ to $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, but with this method a continuous solution operator between Sobolev spaces can only be obtained with a resulting loss of regularity. This suggests the question whether a linear solution operator which maps $W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega)$ to $W^s(\Omega)$ simultaneously for all $s \geq 0$ (see the discussion in Section 5.2 in [15]):

Question. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain. Let $W^s_{(p,q)}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev s space for (p,q)-forms, where $0 \leq p \leq n$ and $1 \leq q \leq n$. Does there exist a solution

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32W05, 32W10, 32W25, 32W50.

operator K such that

$$K : W^s_{(p,q)}(\Omega) \to W^s_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega)$$

for all $s \geq 0$, and such that $\bar{\partial} K f = f$ for any $\bar{\partial}$-closed $f \in L^2_{(p,q)}(\Omega)$?

It is this question which we study in this article in the case of weakly pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We mention here that the regularity of a solution operator is limited by the case of a preservation of the Sobolev levels; a gain of regularity cannot be achieved on general pseudoconvex domains. There are examples of convex domains with analytic discs in the boundary which exclude the existence of a compact solution operator to $\bar{\partial}$ [6] as well the compactness of certain Hankel operators [17], and thereby exclude a solution operator to $\bar{\partial}$ which provides for a gain of regularity.

In this article, we show an operator with the mapping properties stated in the question above can be constructed on the subspace $W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ if a solution operator to the $\bar{\partial}_b$-equation can be found with analogous regularity. We define $A^s_b(\partial\Omega)$ to be the space

$$A^s_b(\partial\Omega) := \left\{ \alpha \in W^s_{(0,1)}(\partial\Omega) : \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha \wedge \phi = 0, \forall \phi \in C^\infty_{(2,0)}(\partial\Omega) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial}_b) \right\}$$

(see for instance [3], Theorem 9.3.1), with norm given by the Sobolev s norm, $\| \cdot \|_{W^s(\partial\Omega)}$.

Main Theorem. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain. Suppose there exists a solution operator, K_b such that $\bar{\partial}_b K_b g = g$ for $g \in A^0_b(\partial\Omega)$ and $K_b : A^s_b(\partial\Omega) \to W^s(\partial\Omega)$ for all $s \geq 0$. Then there exists a solution operator K such that $\bar{\partial} K f = f$ for all $f \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap \ker \bar{\partial}$ and $K : W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} \to W^s(\Omega)$ for all $s > 1/2$.*

The idea behind the proof is to base the construction of the solution operator on the solution to a boundary value problem, much as the solution to the canonical solution is based on the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem. The $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem is defined as follows. Let ϑ denote the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$. Let $\Box = \vartheta\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\vartheta$. The $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem is the boundary value problem:

$$\Box u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\bar{\partial} u \rfloor \bar{\partial}\rho = 0,$$
$$u \rfloor \bar{\partial}\rho = 0,$$

where ρ is a smooth defining function: $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \rho(z) < 0\}$. Let N denote the solution operator to the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem, i.e. as written above, $u = Nf$. Then ϑN provides a solution operator to the $\bar{\partial}$-equation.

As mentioned above the solution operator ϑN does not satisfy the conclusions of the Main Theorem. Our approach in this article is to relax the boundary conditions (we eliminate the second, Dirichlet-type, condition).

As a note to the reader familiar with the technique of reducing to the boundary, we mention a few differences with the standard case (of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem). Section 5 regarding the Dirichlet to Neumann operator (DNO) contains the well-known result that the highest order term of the inward normal derivative to the solution to a Dirichlet problem is given by the square root of the highest order tangential terms in the elliptic interior operator. The highest two orders of the DNO are calculated, as in [2], and reduce to those results in a special case. The approach of [2] could be used here as well to calculate the DNO, but we take another approach based on pseudo-differential operators on half-spaces, an approach which also helps us in calculating the symbol of the normal derivative to the Green's operator. In fact, it is the property of the Green's operator which motivates our particular solution (although the author does not rule out that the methods in [2] could be used to calculate the derivative of the Green's operator as well). A boundary condition can be appropriately chosen to replace the Dirichlet-type $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann condition which exploits the properties of the Green's operator and the hypothesis on the solvability of $\bar{\partial}_b$ leading to our choice of a solution.

The majority of this work was done while the author was at the University of Wuppertal and the hospitality of the University and its Complex Analysis Working Group is sincerely appreciated. The author particularly thanks Jean Ruppenthal for his warm and generous invitation to work with his group. A visit to the Oberwolfach Research Institute in 2013 as part of a Research in Pairs group was also helpful in the formation of this article, for which the author extends gratitude to the Institute as well as to Sönmez Şahutoğlu for helpful discussions on various mathematical topics many of which are included below, as well as for bringing to my attention the relevant results of [6] and [17].

2. Notation and background

We take a moment to fix the notation used throughout the article. Our notation for derivatives is $\partial_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. We also use the index notation for derivatives: with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ a multi-index

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}.$$

We let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and define pseudodifferential operators on Ω as in [16]:

Definition 2.1. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^\alpha(\Omega)$ the space of symbols $a(x,\xi) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ which have the property that for any given compact set, K, and for any n- tuples k_1 and k_2, there is a constant $c_{k_1,k_2}(K) > 0$ such that

$$\left|\partial_\xi^{k_1}\partial_x^{k_2} a(x,\xi)\right| \leq c_{k_1,k_2}(K)\,(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha-|k_1|} \qquad \forall x \in K,\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Associated to the symbols in class $\mathcal{S}^\alpha(\Omega)$ are the pseudodifferential operators, denoted by $\Psi^\alpha(\Omega)$ defined in

Definition 2.2. We say an operator $A : \mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ is in class $\Psi^\alpha(\Omega)$ if A can be written as an integral operator with symbol $a(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha(\Omega)$:

$$Au(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x,\xi)\widehat{u}(\xi)e^{ix\cdot\xi}d\xi. \tag{2.1}$$

In our use of Fourier transforms and equivalent symbols we find cutoffs useful in order to make use of local coordinates, one of which being a defining function for the domain. Let $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\chi \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of 0 and $\chi \equiv 0$ outside of a compact set which includes 0. Then we reserve the notation χ' to denote functions which are 0 near the origin: $\chi'(\xi) := 1 - \chi(\xi)$ where $\chi(\xi)$ is as defined above.

We use $\widetilde{\ }$ to indicate transforms in tangential directions. Let $p \in \partial\Omega$ and let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \rho)$ be local coordinates around p, $(\rho < 0)$. Let $\chi_p(x,\rho)$ denote a cutoff which is $\equiv 1$ near p and vanishes outside a small neighborhood of p on which the local coordinates (x,ρ) are valid. Then with $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ we write

$$\widehat{\chi_p u}(\xi,\eta) = \int \chi_p u(x,\rho)e^{-ix\xi}e^{-i\rho\eta}dxd\rho$$
$$\widetilde{\chi_p u}(\xi,\rho) = \int \chi_p u(x,\rho)e^{-ix\xi}dx.$$

We also use the $\widetilde{\ }$ notation when describing transforms of functions supported on the boundary. With notation and coordinates as above, we let $u_b(x) \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and write

$$\widetilde{\chi_p(x,0)u_b}(\xi) = \int \chi_p(x,0)u_b(x)e^{-ix\xi}dx.$$

If we let χ_j be such that $\{\chi_j \equiv 1\}_j$ is a covering of Ω, and let φ_j be a partition of unity subordinate to this covering, then locally, we describe a boundary operator $A : \mathscr{E}'(\Omega) \to \mathscr{D}'(\Omega)$ in terms of its symbol, $a(x,\xi)$ according to

$$Au = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int a(x,\xi)\widehat{\chi_j u}(\xi)d\xi$$

on supp φ_j. Then we can describe the operator A globally on all of Ω by

$$Au = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_j \varphi_j \int a(x,\xi)\widehat{\chi_j u}(\xi)d\xi. \tag{2.2}$$

The difference arising between the definitions in (2.1) and (2.2) is a smoothing term [16], which we write as $\Psi^{-\infty}u$, to use the notation of Definition 2.2.

On the boundary of our domain in $\mathbb{R}^4$, we further break up the transforms with the use of the following microlocal decomposition into three regions, as in for instance [4, 8, 12, 14]. We write

$\xi_{1,2} := (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, and define the three regions

$$\mathcal{C}^+ = \left\{ \xi | \xi_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{1,2}|,\ |\xi| \geq 1 \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{C}^0 = \left\{ \xi | -\frac{3}{4}|\xi_{1,2}| \leq \xi_3 \leq \frac{3}{4}|\xi_{1,2}| \right\} \cup \{ \xi | |\xi| \leq 1 \}$$
$$\mathcal{C}^- = \left\{ \xi | \xi_3 \leq -\frac{1}{2}|\xi_{1,2}|,\ |\xi| \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Associated to the three regions we define the functions $\psi^+(\xi)$, $\psi^0(\xi)$, and $\psi^-(\xi)$ with the following properties: $\psi^+, \psi^0, \psi^- \in C^\infty$, are symbols of order 0 with values in $[0,1]$, ψ^+ (resp. ψ^0, resp. ψ^-) restricted to $|\xi| = 1$ has compact support in $\mathcal{C}^+ \cap \{|\xi| = 1\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0 \cap \{|\xi| = 1\}$, resp. $\mathcal{C}^- \cap \{|\xi| = 1\}$) with $\psi^-(\xi) = \psi^+(-\xi)$ and ψ^0 is given by $\psi^0(\xi) = 1 - \psi^+(\xi) - \psi^-(\xi)$. Furthermore for $|\xi| \geq 1$, $\psi^-(\xi) = \psi^-\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)$ (resp. $\psi^0(\xi) = \psi^0\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)$, $\psi^+(\xi) = \psi^+\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)$). The relation $\psi^0(\xi) + \psi^+(\xi) + \psi^-(\xi) = 1$ is to hold on all of $\mathbb{R}^3$.

The support of ψ^0 is contained in $\mathcal{C}^0$, and from the above requirements we have the support of ψ^+ (resp. ψ^-) is contained in $\mathcal{C}^+ \cup \{|\xi| \leq 1\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^- \cup \{|\xi| \leq 1\}$). We make the further restrictions that the supports of ψ^+ and ψ^- are contained in conic neighborhoods; we define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+ = \left\{ \xi | \xi_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{1,2}| \right\}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^- = \left\{ \xi | \xi_3 \leq -\frac{1}{2}|\xi_{1,2}| \right\}.$$

We then assume that the support of ψ^+ and ψ^- are contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$, respectively, such that the restrictions, $\psi^+\big|_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}}$ and $\psi^-\big|_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}}$ have support which is relatively compact in the interior of the regions $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$, respectively.

We note that due to the radial extensions from the unit sphere, the functions $\psi^-(\xi)$, $\psi^0(\xi)$, and $\psi^+(\xi)$ are symbols of zero order pseudodifferential operators. The operator Ψ^+ (resp. Ψ^-) is defined as the operator with symbol ψ^+ (resp. ψ^-). We do not have need for the operator defined by the symbol ψ^0 and as the above notation would conflict with our notations of generic pseudodifferential operators of order 0, we have left out this operator.

We will make the assumption that $\psi^- \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}^- \cap (\mathcal{C}^0)^c$. This is to ensure that operators formed by commutators with Ψ^- have symbols whose restrictions to the sphere $|\xi| = 1$ have compact support in the region $\mathcal{C}^- \cap \mathcal{C}^0 \cap \{|\xi| = 1\}$.

Similarly, we define $\psi_D^-(\xi) \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-)$ with the property $\psi_D^-(\xi) = \psi_D^-(\xi/|\xi|)$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$, and such that $\psi_D^- \equiv 1$ on supp ψ^-. And, as with ψ^-, the restriction to the disc, $\psi_D^-\big|_{\{|\xi| \leq 1\}}$, has relatively compact support in in the interior of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$. We shall have the occasion to use the operator defined by the symbol $\psi_D^-(\xi)$. This operator we denote Ψ_D^-. In the terminology of [12] we say Ψ_D^- *dominates* Ψ^-.

We further use the notation u^{ψ^-} as a short-hand for $\Psi^- u$, with similar meanings for u^{ψ^0} and u^{ψ^+}. The use of u^{ψ^-} (resp. u^{ψ^0}, u^{ψ^+}) thus has the advantage of allowing us to consider the symbol of a boundary operator in only one microlocal region, $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}^0$, resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$); naturally it holds that $u = u^{\psi^-} + u^{\psi^0} + u^{\psi^+}$, modulo smooth terms. We shall use such microlocalizations in Section 7 to obtain a solution to the boundary value problem as a sum of three terms, each solving an equation relating to symbols whose transform variables are restricted to one of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$, $\mathcal{C}^0$, or $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$.

3. Setup

We follow [2] in setting up our boundary value problem (which is similar to the setup of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem in [2]). We let ρ denote the geodesic distance (with respect to the standard Euclidean metric) to the boundary function for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain. We let U be an open neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega \cap U = \{z \in U | \rho(z) < 0\}; \\ &\nabla\rho(z) \neq 0 \qquad \text{for } z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

We define an orthonormal frame of $(1,0)$-forms on a neighborhood U with ω_1, ω_2 where $\omega_2 = \sqrt{2}\partial\rho$, and L_1, L_2 the dual frame. We thus can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(X_1 - iX_2) + O(\rho) \\ L_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + iT + O(\rho) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\partial/\partial\rho$ is the vector field dual to $d\rho$, and X_1, X_2, and T are tangential fields. We can expand the vector fields L_1 and T as in [2] as

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 =& L_1^0 + \rho L_1^1 + \cdots \\ L_2 =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + i\left(T^0 + \rho T^1 + \cdots\right). \end{aligned}$$

We then choose coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) on $\partial\Omega$ near a point $p \in \partial\Omega$, in terms of which the vector fields L_1^0 and T^0 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^0 =& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ L_1^0 =& \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right) + O(x-p). \end{aligned}$$

We note here that the pseudodifferential operators used in this article (with the exception of the general setup in Section 4) will be implicitly defined with a family of cutoffs χ_j as in (2.2) in the support of which we can find coordinates (x, ρ) as above. Cutoffs of the symbols with the use of

functions (symbols) such as those given by ψ^- or ψ^0 or ψ^+ will refer to the transform variables corresponding to these sets of coordinates.

We also define the scalar function s by

$$\bar{\partial}\bar{\omega}_1 = s\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2.$$

With respect to the coordinates, z_1 and z_2

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\omega}_1 =& \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_2}d\bar{z}_1 - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_1}d\bar{z}_2\right),\\ \bar{\partial}\bar{\omega}_1 =& -\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_1\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_2\partial z_2}\right)d\bar{z}_1\wedge d\bar{z}_2\\ =& -2\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_1\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_2\partial z_2}\right)\bar{\omega}_1\wedge\bar{\omega}_2,\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$s(z_1,z_2) = -2\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_1\partial z_1} + \frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_2\partial z_2}\right).$$

We will also need to calculate the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$, denoted by ϑ. For φ, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ we use integration by parts to write, with some h_1 and h_2 to be determined,

$$\int_U \varphi\overline{L_j\psi}dV = \int_U(-L_j + h_j)\varphi\overline{\psi}dV.$$

In calculating the adjoint of

$$\overline{L}_1 = 2\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)$$

we use

$$\int_U \varphi\overline{\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_i}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\bar{z}_j}}dV = -\int_U\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_i}\overline{\psi}dV - \int_U\varphi\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial z_i\partial z_j}\overline{\psi}dV.$$

We see immediately that

$$h_1 = 0.$$

Similarly from

$$\int_U \varphi\overline{\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\bar{z}_j}}dV = -\int_U\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z_j}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\bar{z}_j}\overline{\psi}dV - \int_U\varphi\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z}_j}\overline{\psi}dV$$

and

$$\overline{L}_2 = 2\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial z_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{z}_2}\right)$$

we have

$$h_2 = s.$$

We now create a boundary value problem based on the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann boundary value problem. The $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem is the vector-valued boundary-value problem:

$$\Box u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\Box = \vartheta\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\vartheta$, with the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{L}_2 u_1 - s u_1 &= 0 \\ u_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $\partial\Omega$. In our modified problem, we elimiate the condition $u_2 = 0$ on the boundary; this leads to the consideration of forms u which are no longer in the domain of $\bar{\partial}^*$ and it is for this reason we describe the operator $\Box$ in terms of the formal adjoint, rather than with $\bar{\partial}^*$ as is common in the theory of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem (note that on dom $\bar{\partial}^*$, we have $\bar{\partial}^* = \vartheta$). We now describe how we modify the boundary conditions, as well as the interior operator $\Box$.

Our solution to the $\bar{\partial}$-problem will be based on a solution to a similar but modified boundary value problem. We first define the operator $\bar{\partial}'$ to be the operator on $(0,1)$-forms given by

$$\bar{\partial}'(u_1\bar{\omega}_1 + u_2\bar{\omega}_2) = \bar{\partial}u + (\Phi^0 u)\bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2,$$

where Φ^0 is a 0 order operator to be defined below. We then define $\Box' = \vartheta\bar{\partial}' + \bar{\partial}\vartheta$ and consider

$$\Box' u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\overline{L}_2 u_1 - s_0 u_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_2 + \Phi_b^0 u = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

It remains to define the operators Φ^0 and Φ_b^0; their definitions are based on a Dirichlet to Neumann operator. The notation we use for the Dirichlet to Neumann operator (DNO) for the Dirichlet problem

$$\Box' u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions (as the operator giving the inward normal derivative to the solution on the boundary) is N^-, while we reserve the notation N_0^- for the DNO corresponding to the problem

$$\Box u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

We denote by P a Poisson's operator for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Box' \circ P &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ R \circ P &= I \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

modulo smooth terms. The operators P_1 and P_2 denote respectively the first and second components of the solution given by the operator P:

$$P(u_b) = P_1(u_b)\bar{\omega}_1 + P_2(u_b)\bar{\omega}_2.$$

The DNO, given by the derivative of the Poission's operator restricted to the boundary,

$$N^- u_b = R \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} P(u_b),$$

where R denotes the operation of restriction to the boundary, is thus a matrix of operators. We concentrate on the first component and write

$$R \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} P_1(u_b) = N_1^- u_b^1 + N_2^- u_b^2,$$

where N_1^- is the $(1,1)$ entry of the DNO matrix operator and N_2^- the $(1,2)$ entry.

We write $\Phi^0(u) = \Phi_1^0(u^1) + \Phi_2^0(u^2)$. In later sections of this article we make the specific choice of $\Phi_1^0 \equiv 0$, while the 0 order operator $\Phi_{b,2}^0 \in \Psi_b^0(\partial\Omega)$ is defined as the restriction to the boundary of a tangential operator:

$$\Phi_{b,2}^0 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}, \overline{L}_1 \right] \circ N_1^- \circ \Psi_D^- - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- \circ \Psi_D^-. \tag{3.3}$$

The operator Φ_2^0 is defined as $\Phi_{b,2}^0$ acting on functions defined on Ω; its symbol is independent of ρ and η. As we will see in section 5, the operator N_2^- itself depends on $\Phi_{b,2}^0$ and hence the need for the operators Ψ_D^-; they will allow for a non-vanishing combination of the $\Phi_{b,2}^0$ operators. In general, without the cutoffs, ψ_D^-, (in transform space), we could not find a suitable $\Phi_{b,2}^0$ satisfying (3.3). This shall become apparent in Section 7.

In Section 5 we will see that N^- agrees to highest order with the DNO (associated with the operator $2\Box$) calculated in [2] (since the highest order terms of $\Box$ and $\Box'$ agree):

$$N_0^- = N^- + \Psi_b^0,$$

where the subscript b on the pseudodifferential operator Ψ_b^0 is used to denote an operator on the boundary $\Psi_b^0 \in \Psi^0(\partial\Omega)$.

From (2.19) in [2] the operator $\Box$ has the form

$$2\Box = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \bar{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \Box_\rho, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C} &= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}(s - \overline{s} + h_2) & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} h_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sqrt{2} s \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and from (2.22) in [2]

$$\begin{aligned}\Box_\rho \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} =& -2(T^2+\overline{L}_1L_1)\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} - 2\left[L_1,\overline{L}_1\right]\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho},T\right]\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ -u_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2isT\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ -u_2 \end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix} \left[L_2,\overline{L}_1\right]u_2 \\ \left[L_1,\overline{L}_2\right]u_1 \end{bmatrix} + 2s\begin{bmatrix} \overline{L}_1u_2 \\ -L_1u_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2\begin{bmatrix} L_2(s)u_1+\overline{L}_1(s)u_2 \\ -L_1(s)u_1+\overline{L}_2(s)u_2 \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\Box' u =& \bar\partial\vartheta u + \vartheta\bar\partial' u \\ =& \bar\partial\vartheta u + \vartheta\bar\partial u + \vartheta\left(\Phi^0(u)\bar\omega_1\wedge\bar\omega_2\right) \\ =& \bar\partial\vartheta u + \vartheta\bar\partial u + L_2\Phi^0(u)\bar\omega_1 - L_1\Phi^0(u)\bar\omega_2,\end{aligned}$$

modulo terms of order 0, in (3.4), we write

$$2\Box' = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} + C\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \sqrt{2}\Phi^0_{M1}\circ\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \Box'_\rho, \tag{3.5}$$

again modulo terms of order 0, where

$$\Phi^0_{M1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^0_1 & \Phi^0_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

(recall that Φ^0 commutes with $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$) and

$$\Box'_\rho = \Box_\rho + 2\begin{bmatrix} iT\Phi^0_1 & iT\Phi^0_2 \\ -L_1\Phi^0_1 & -L_1\Phi^0_2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.6}$$

4. Pseudodifferential operators on half-spaces

In this section we develop some of the properties of pseudodifferential operators on half-spaces. Of particular importance for the reduction to the boundary techniques are the boundary values of pseudodifferential operators on half-spaces, as well as pseudodifferential operators acting on distributions supported on the boundary.

We define the half-space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_- := \{(x,\rho)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \rho < 0\}$. The space of distributions, $\mathscr{E}'(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}_-)$ is defined as the distributions in $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with support in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}_-$. The topology of $\mathscr{E}'(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}_-)$ is inherited from that of $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. We endow $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with the topology defined in terms of the semi-norms

$$p_{l,K}(\phi) = \max_{(x,\rho)\in K\subset\subset\mathbb{R}^{m+1}}\sum_{|\alpha|\le l}|\partial^\alpha\phi(x,\rho)|.$$

A *regularizing* operator, $\Psi^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, is a continuous linear map

$$\Psi^{-\infty} : \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \tag{4.1}$$

Continuity of (4.1) can be shown, for instance, by using the density of $L^p_c(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ in $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ so that continuity is read from estimates of the form

$$\max_{\substack{(x,\rho)\in K \\ K\subset\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \\ \rho\geq 0}} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq l} |\partial^{\alpha}\phi(x,\rho)| \lesssim \|\phi\|_{L^p}$$

for each $l \geq 0$.

In working with pseudodifferential operators on half-spaces, with coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \rho)$, $\rho < 0$, we will have the need to show that by multiplying symbols of inverses of elliptic operators with smooth cutoffs with compact support, which are functions of transform variables corresponding to tangential coordinates, we produce operators which are smoothing after restriction to the boundary ($\rho = 0$); these operators are then maps $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-) \to C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for $k \leq -1$ be the inverse to an elliptic operator such that the symbol, $\sigma(A)(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$ has poles at $\eta = q_1(x,\rho,\xi), \ldots, q_k(x,\rho,\xi)$ with $q_i(x,\rho,\xi)$ themselves symbols of elliptic operators of order 1 on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

Let A_χ denote the operator with symbol

$$\chi(\xi)\sigma(A),$$

where $\chi(\xi) \in C^{\infty}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then A_χ is regularizing on distributions supported on the boundary:

$$A_\chi : \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \delta(\rho) \to C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{H}}^{n+1}_-).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we suppose $\phi_b(x) \in L^2_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and let $\phi = \phi_b \times \delta(\rho)$. We estimate derivatives of $A_\chi(\phi)$. We let $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$ denote the symbol of A, and $a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$ that of A_χ.

We assume without loss of generality that A is the inverse to an elliptic operator with non-vanishing symbol. The general case is handled in the same manner by using a cutoff χ as above which vanishes at the zeros of the symbol (of the elliptic operator to which A is an inverse).

We first note that derivatives with respect to the x variables pose no difficulty due to the χ term in the symbol of A_χ: from

$$\begin{aligned} A_\chi\phi =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)\widehat{\phi}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)\widetilde{\phi}_b(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^\alpha A_\chi \phi| &\lesssim \int |\partial_x^{\alpha_1} a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)||\xi|^{|\alpha_2|} \left|\widetilde{\phi_b}(\xi)\right| d\xi d\eta \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_b\|_{L^2} \left(\int |\xi|^{|2\alpha_2|} \chi^2(\xi) |\partial_x^{\alpha_1} a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_b\|_{L^2} \left(\int |\xi|^{|2\alpha_2|} \chi^2(\xi) \frac{1}{(1+\xi^2+\eta^2)^k} d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_b\|_{L^2} \left(\int |\xi|^{|2\alpha_2|} \chi^2(\xi) d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_b\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha$. For any mixed derivative $\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\rho^\beta$, the x derivatives can be handled in the manner above and so we turn to derivatives of the type $\partial_\rho^\beta A_\chi \phi$.

We use the residue calculus to integrate over the η variable in

$$A_\chi \phi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta) \widetilde{\phi_b}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta.$$

For $\rho < 0$, we integrate over a contour in the lower-half plane and analyze a typical term resulting from a simple pole at $\eta = q_-(x,\rho,\xi)$ of $a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$. Let

$$a_{q^-}(x,\rho,\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathrm{Res}_{\eta = q^-} a_\chi(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$$

and

$$A_\chi^{q^-} \phi = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}} \int \chi(\xi) a_{q_-}(x,\rho,\xi) \widetilde{\phi_b}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho q_-} d\xi.$$

We can now estimate $\partial_\rho^\beta A_\chi^{q^-} \phi$ by differentiating under the integral. For $\rho < 0$ we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \partial_\rho^\beta A_\chi^{q^-} \phi \right| &\lesssim \int |\partial_\rho^{\beta_1} a_{q_-}(x,\rho,\xi)| |q_-|^{|\beta_2|} |\partial_\rho^{\beta_3} q_-| \left|\widetilde{\phi_b}(\xi)\right| d\xi \\
&\lesssim \|\phi_b\|_{L^1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta$. The integral over ξ converges due to the factor of $\chi(\xi)$ contained in a_{q_-}.

As poles of other orders are handled similarly, we conclude the proof of the lemma. □

Without the assumption of the cutoff function $\chi(\xi)$ in the symbol of A_χ we can still prove

Lemma 4.2. *Let $g \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ of the form $g(x,\rho) = g_b(x)\delta(\rho)$ for $g_b \in W^s(R^n)$. Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, $k \leq -1$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then for $s \geq 0$*

$$\|Ag\|_{W^s_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)} \lesssim \|g_b\|_{W^{s+k+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We follow and use the notation of the proof of Lemma 4.1, and analyze a typical term resulting from a simple pole at $\eta = q_-(x,\rho,\xi)$ of $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$. With

$$a_{q^-}(x,\rho,\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\mathrm{Res}_{\eta=q^-}a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$$

and

$$A^{q-}g = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}\int a_{q_-}(x,\rho,\xi)\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho q_-}d\xi,$$

we estimate $\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta A^{q-}g$ by differentiating under the integral. For $\rho<0$ we have the estimates

$$\left|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta A_\chi^{q_-}\phi\right|^2 \lesssim \int |\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\partial_\rho^{\beta_1}a_{q_-}(x,\rho,\xi)|^2|\partial_x^{\alpha_2}q_-|^{2|\beta_2|}|\partial_x^{\alpha_3}\partial_\rho^{\beta_3}q_-|^2|\xi|^{2|\alpha_4|}\,|\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2\,e^{2\rho|\mathrm{Im}\ q_-|}d\xi,$$

where $\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3=\beta$ and $\alpha_1+\cdots\alpha_4=\alpha$ and $|\alpha|+\beta=s$. We assume a includes a factor $\chi'(\xi)$ (it can be shown including $\chi'(\xi)$ into the symbol produces smoothing errors), so that when we integrate over ρ we get a factor of $\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Im}\ q_-|}\sim\frac{1}{|\xi|}$ which lowers the order of the norm in the tangential directions by $1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_\rho^\beta A_\chi^{q^-}\phi\right\|^2_{L^2_{loc}} &\lesssim \int |1+\xi^2|^{k+1}|\xi|^{2|\beta_2|}|\xi|^{2|\beta_3|}|\xi|^{2|\alpha_4|}\,|\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2\,\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{|\xi|}d\xi\\ &\lesssim \int |1+\xi^2|^{k+1/2+\beta_2+\beta_3+|\alpha_4|}\,|\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2\,d\xi\\ &\lesssim \int |1+\xi^2|^{k+1/2+s}\,|\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2\,d\xi\\ &\lesssim \|g_b\|^2_{W^{s+k+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

The hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 (and Lemma 4.2) is satisfied for instance in the case of an inverse to an elliptic differential operator. It also applies in the case of the inverse to our operator $\Box'$, the essential property being that $\Box'$ is a second order elliptic operator, and a differential operator in the ρ variable; hence its symbol has zeros in the η variable (the transform variable corresponding to ρ) which are of the form described in Lemma 4.1. To apply the Lemma to the inverse to $\Box'$ we can expand the symbol of the inverse, to each of which the Lemma applies by the remark above, and the remainder terms will be sufficiently smooth; this is the procedure carried out in Theorem 4.9 below and used implicitly in Theorem 5.2.

We use the notation $\Psi_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\Psi_b^k(\partial\Omega)$) to denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k on $\mathbb{R}^n=\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-$ (resp. on $\partial\Omega$). Further following our use of the notation Ψ^k to denote any operator belonging to the family $\Psi^k(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)$ (resp. $\Psi^k(\Omega)$) when acting on distributions $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-$ (resp. $\phi\in\mathscr{E}'(\Omega)$) we write for $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n$ (resp. $\phi_b\in\mathscr{E}'(\partial\Omega)$) $\Psi_b^k\phi_b$, Ψ_b^k denoting any pseudodifferential operator of order k on the appropriate (boundary of a) domain.

With coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \rho)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, let R denote the restriction operator, $R : \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to \mathscr{D}(R^n)$, given by $R\phi = \phi|_{\rho=0}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $g \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ of the form $g(x,\rho) = g_b(x)\delta(\rho)$ for $g_b \in \mathscr{D}(R^n)$. Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, be an operator of order k, for $k \leq -2$, with symbol $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$. Then $R \circ A$ induces a pseudodifferential operator of order $k+1$ on g_b via*

$$RAg = \Psi_b^{k+1} g_b.$$

Proof. The symbol

$$\alpha(x,\rho,\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)d\eta$$

for any fixed ρ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which follows from the properties of $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$ as a member of $\mathcal{S}^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and differentiating under the integral. The composition $R \circ Ag$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n+1}}\int a(x,0,\xi,\eta)\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi}d\xi d\eta =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int\left[\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a(x,0,\xi,\eta)d\eta\right]\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi}d\xi \\ =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n}\int \alpha(x,0,\xi)\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi}d\xi \\ =& \Psi_b^{k+1}g_b.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.4. We can generalize Lemma 4.3 to operators of order $k = -1$ in the case of inverses to elliptic operators satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 4.1 by using the residue calculus to integrate out the η variable.

If we choose coordinates $(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}, \rho)$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in a neighborhood, U, around a boundary point, $p \in \partial\Omega$, such that $\Omega \bigcap U = \{z : \rho(z) < 0\}$ and $\rho|_{\partial\Omega\bigcap U} = 0$ we obtain the obvious analogues of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 with Ω replacing $\mathbb{H}_-^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\partial\Omega$ replacing $\mathbb{R}^n$.

If we do not restrict in Lemma 4.3, we can obtain tangential estimates for operators of order ≤ -1. For these estimates we use the following notations: The operator D_τ is the tangential operator whose symbol, $\sigma(D_\tau)$ is given by

$$\Lambda_\tau(\xi) = (1 + \xi_1^2 + \cdots + \xi_n^2)^{1/2}.$$

The norm $|||\cdot|||_{W^s(\mathbb{H}_-^{m+1})}$ is equivalent to

$$|||\phi|||_{W^s(\mathbb{H}_-^{m+1})} \sim \|\Lambda_\tau^s \widehat{\phi}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+1})}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $g \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ of the form $g(x,\rho) = g_b(x)\delta(\rho)$ for $g_b \in W^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for $k \leq -1$, with symbol $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$. Then for $s \geq 0$.*

$$|||Ag|||_{W^s_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_-^{n+1})} \lesssim \|g_b\|_{W^{s+k+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|||Ag|||^2_{W^s_{loc}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)} \lesssim & \|D^s_\tau Ag\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)} \\
\lesssim & \int |a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)|^2 \Lambda^{2s}_\tau |\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta dx d\rho \\
\lesssim & \int \frac{1+|\xi|^{2s}}{(1+\xi^2+\eta^2)^{|k|}} |\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
\lesssim & \int (1+|\xi|^{2s-2|k|+1}) |\widetilde{g}_b(\xi)|^2 d\xi d\eta \\
\lesssim & \|g_b\|_{W^{s+k+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the x and ρ integrals are done over compact sets. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $g \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ of the form $g(x,\rho) = g_b(x)\delta(\rho)$ for $g_b \in \mathscr{D}(R^n)$. Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, be a pseudodifferential operator of order k with symbol $a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$: $A = Op(a)$. Let ρ denote the operator of multiplication with ρ. Then $\rho \circ A$ induces a pseudodifferential operator of order $k-1$ on g:*

$$\rho A g = \Psi^{k-1} g.$$

Proof. We let $\alpha_\rho = \rho \cdot a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)$. Then α_ρ is also of order k, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho \circ A(g) = & \int \rho a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta) \widetilde{g}_b(\xi) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
= & -i \int a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta) \widetilde{g}_b(\xi) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
= & i \int \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\Big(a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)\Big) \widetilde{g}_b(\xi) e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
= & \Psi^{k-1} g
\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\Big(a(x,\rho,\xi,\eta)\Big)$ is a symbol of class $\mathcal{S}^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. □

Lemma 4.3 concerned itself with the restrictions of pseudodifferential operators (applied to distributions supported on the boundary) to the boundary, while Lemma 4.2 allows us to consider pseudodifferential operators applied to restrictions of distributions. A special case of Lemma 4.2 is

Lemma 4.7. *Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, for $k \leq -1$, be as in Lemma 4.1. Then*

$$A \circ R\Psi^{-\infty} : \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)$$

i.e., $A \circ R\Psi^{-\infty} = \Psi^{-\infty}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)$ and apply Lemma 4.2 with $g_b = R \circ \Psi^{-\infty} f$. Then for all s

$$\begin{aligned} \|A \circ R\Psi^{-\infty} f\|_{W^s(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)} &\lesssim \|R \circ \Psi^{-\infty} f\|_{W^{s+k+1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|\Psi^{-\infty} f\|_{W^{s+k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{W^{-\infty}(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}_-)}. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma thus follows from the Sobolev Embedding Theorem. □

Similarly proven is the

Lemma 4.8. *Let $A \in \Psi^k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, for $k \leq -1$, be as in Lemma 4.1. Then*

$$A \circ \Psi_b^{-\infty} : \mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}),$$

i.e., $A \circ \Psi_b^{-\infty} \circ R = \Psi^{-\infty}$.

We end this section with an illustration of how our analysis lends itself to the proof of useful theorems on harmonic functions. A result of Ligocka states that multiplication with the defining function of the solution to a Dirichlet problem leads to an increase in smoothness:

Theorem 4.9 (Ligocka, see Theorem 1 [13])**.** *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain with defining function ρ, and $H^s(\Omega)$ be the space of harmonic functions belonging to $W^s(\Omega)$. Let $T_k u = \rho^k u$, where k is a positive integer. Then for each integer s, the operator T_k maps $H^s(\Omega)$ continuously into $H^{s+k}(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We will prove the Theorem in the case $s \geq 1$; the proof in [13] was also broken into cases, $s \geq 1$ being the first of these.

We work in the general situation of functions satisfying

$$\Gamma u = 0$$

for some second order elliptic differential operator Γ whose parametrix Γ^{-1} satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1, and we provide here a sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.9 using the techniques from this work. We make a change of coordinates so that locally $\Omega = \{(x, \rho) | \rho < 0\}$, and $\partial\Omega = \{(x, 0)\}$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} g_b^j &= \frac{\partial^j u}{\partial \rho^j}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} \\ g^j &= g_b^j \times \delta(\rho) \qquad j = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let Γ^{-1} denote a parametrix for Γ. We will use the fact that

$$g_b^1 = \Psi_b^1 g_b$$

where the operator Ψ_b^1 is known as the Dirichlet to Neumann operator, and is calculated as in Section 5 below.

The solution u can be written locally as

$$\Gamma^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{1}\Psi_t^j g^{1-j}\right) = \Gamma^{-1}\Psi_t^1 g^0,$$

modulo smoothing terms, where Ψ_t^j is used to denote a tangential differential operator of order j (see for instance, (5.14)). If the Ψ_t^1 on the right-hand side depends on ρ we can apply an expansion in powers of ρ, to obtain a sum of terms of the form

$$\sum_{l\geq 0}\left(\Psi^{-2-l}\circ\Psi_0^1\right)g^0, \tag{4.2}$$

where Ψ^{-2-l} are operators satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1, the sum over l coming from the expansion in powers of ρ by Lemma 4.6, as well as an expansion of the symbol of the inverse operator Γ^{-1}. The subscript 0 is to denote that the operators Ψ_0^1 have symbols which are independent of ρ. A finite sum over l may be taken with a remainder term of the form $\Psi^{-N}g$ for arbitrarily large N. In particular, if N is chosen to be larger than $k+s+2$, such a remainder term can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_x^{k_1}\partial_\rho^{k_2}\Psi^{-N}g^0\right| &\lesssim \int \frac{|\xi|^{|k_1|}|\eta|^{k_2}}{(1+\xi^2+\eta^2)^{N/2}}\widetilde{u_b}(\xi)d\xi d\eta\\ &\lesssim \|u_b\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{W^{1/2}(\Omega)}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{W^s(\Omega)}\end{aligned}$$

for $|k_1|+k_2 = k+s$ by the Sobolev Trace Theorem. We use the notation $u_b := u|_{\partial\Omega}$ above.

Furthermore, ρ applied to a term in the sum in (4.2) gives

$$\rho^k\Psi^{-2-l}\circ\Psi_0^1 g^0 = \Psi^{-2-l-k}\circ\Psi_0^1 g^0$$

by Lemma 4.6. The operators Ψ^{-2-l-k} have symbols which are given by derivatives of the principal symbol to inverses to elliptic operators. As such Lemma 4.2 applies to such operators and we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\Psi^{-2+l-k}\Psi_0^1 g^0\right\|_{W^{k+s}(\Omega)} &\lesssim \left\|\Psi_0^1 g^0\right\|_{W^{-2+l+s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\\ &\lesssim \|u_b\|_{W^{l+s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\\ &\lesssim \|u_b\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{W^s(\Omega)},\end{aligned}$$

where we use the Trace Theorem in the last step. □

5. The Dirichlet to Neumann operator

The Dirichlet to Neumann operator (DNO) is the boundary value operator giving the inward normal derivative of the solution to a Dirichlet problem. We start with the DNO corresponding to the operator $2\Box'$. We look for an expression for $v = v_1\bar{\omega}_1 + v_2\bar{\omega}_2$ which solves

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Box' v =& 0 \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ v =& g_b \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

(modulo smooth terms) and we obtain an expression for $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}$ near a given point $p \in \partial\Omega$ in terms of g_b.

If χ_p is a smooth cutoff function with support in a small neighborhood of p and χ_p' a smooth cutoff such that $\chi_p' \equiv 1$ on supp χ_p, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Box'(\chi_p v) =& \Psi^1(\chi_p' v) \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ \chi_p v =& \chi_p g_b \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The term $\Psi^1(\chi_p' v)$ above arises due to derivatives falling on the cutoff function χ_p. The use of the cutoff function allows us to consider the equation locally, and is equivalent to using pseudodifferential operators with symbols defined in local coordinate patches, with one of the coordinates given by ρ.

We choose a coordinate system, with ρ as one of the coordinates, and write out each operator composing $2\Box'$ in with respect to our chosen coordinates, valid in a neighborhood of the given point p. We recall from Section 3 that we can expand the vector fields L_1 and T as

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 =& L_1^0 + \rho L_1^1 + \cdots \\ L_2 =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + i\left(T^0 + \rho T^1 + \cdots\right) \end{aligned}$$

and choose coordinates (x_1, x_2, x_3) on $\partial\Omega$, in terms of which the vector fields L_1^0 and T^0 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T^0 =& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ L_1^0 =& \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right) + O(x-p). \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \ell_j(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \rho L_1^1 + \cdots, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\ell_j(x) = O(x-p)$ for $j = 1,2,3$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{L}_1 L_1 =& \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{L}_1\left(\ell_j(x)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_j}-\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2\partial x_j}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_j}+\frac{i}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2\partial x_j}+\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\ell_k(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+O(\rho).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$T^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}+O(\rho).$$

Hence, the operator $-2(\overline{L}_1 L_1 + T^2)$ occurring in $\Box'_\rho$ in (3.5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}+4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}\right)-2\sum_{j=1}^{3}\overline{L}_1\left(\ell_j(x)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}-2\sum_{j=1}^{3}\operatorname{Re}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_j}+2\sum_{j=1}^{3}\operatorname{Im}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2\partial x_j}\\ &-2\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\ell_k(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

For simplicity we collect first order tangential derivatives in (5.3) and (3.6) into a matrix A, and use (3.5) to write $2\Box'(\chi_p v)$ modulo 0 order terms as

$$\begin{aligned}2\Box'(\chi_p v) =& -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}+4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}\right)\right)(\chi_p v)\\ &-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\operatorname{Re}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_j}-\sum_{j=1}^{3}\operatorname{Im}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2\partial x_j}+\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\ell_k(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\right)(\chi_p v)\\ &+\sqrt{2}s\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}(\chi_p v)+\sqrt{2}\Phi^0\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\chi_p v\right)\bar{\omega}_1+A(\chi_p v)+\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}(\chi_p v).\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

We analyze each term on the right-hand side of (5.4) as a term coming from pseudodifferential operator acting on v (as in the summation (2.2) giving the local description of a pseudodifferential operator) which is supported on the half-space $\rho \leq 0$. In this section we opt to write the operators as inverse Fourier integrals as opposed to writing operators to be later defined by their symbols. This has the disadvantage of continuously having to declare the equations involved are only valid locally. On the other hand, the advantage of writing the Fourier integrals is that we can integrate over one of the Fourier variables (that which is dual to the normal variable) to obtain tangential operators. This approach also helps the reader not so familiar with pseudodifferential operators to see how the calculus arises and is used.

We use the calculations

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\frac{\partial^2(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho^2}}(\xi,\eta) =& \int\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\partial^2(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho^2} e^{-ix\xi}e^{-i\rho\eta}d\rho dx\\ =&\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0) + i\eta\widetilde{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,0) - \eta^2\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)\\ =&\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\widehat{\ } + i\eta\left(\chi_p\Big(g_b\times\delta(\rho)\Big)\right)\widehat{\ } - \eta^2\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta),\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,\eta) =&\widetilde{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,0) + i\eta\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)\\ =&\left(\chi_p\Big(g_b\times\delta(\rho)\Big)\right)\widehat{\ } + i\eta\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)\end{aligned}$$

in the following expressions. We use the notation

$$\begin{aligned}v =& v_1\bar{\omega}_1 + v_2\bar{\omega}_2\\ g_b =& g_b^1\bar{\omega}_1 + g_b^2\bar{\omega}_2,\end{aligned}$$

and consider first the terms of order greater than 1,

$$\begin{aligned}-\Bigg[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + 4\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2}\right)\\ + 2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\mathrm{Re}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_j(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2\partial x_j} + \sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\overline{\ell}_j(x)\ell_k(x)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\right)\Bigg](\chi_p v),\end{aligned}$$

and write them as a sum of operators on $\chi_p v$, $\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)$, and $g_b\times\delta(\rho)$. We will use the notation $g = g_b\times\delta(\rho)$. For the second derivative with respect to ρ we use (5.5) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left(\eta^2 + \xi^t E\xi\right)\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v_1)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\widehat{\ } + i\eta\widehat{\chi_p g}\right]e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&4\end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix}\mathrm{Re}\,\ell_1 & \mathrm{Re}\,\ell_2 & \mathrm{Re}\,\ell_3\\ -\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_1 & -\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_2 & -\mathrm{Im}\,\ell_3\\ 0&0&0\end{bmatrix} + 2\begin{bmatrix}\overline{\ell}_1\ell_1 & \overline{\ell}_2\ell_1 & \overline{\ell}_3\ell_1\\ \overline{\ell}_1\ell_2 & \overline{\ell}_2\ell_2 & \overline{\ell}_3\ell_2\\ \overline{\ell}_1\ell_3 & \overline{\ell}_2\ell_3 & \overline{\ell}_3\ell_3\end{bmatrix}.$$

The term, $\sqrt{2}s\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}(\chi_p v)$ in (5.4) will be read as the pseudodifferential operator $\sqrt{2}S$, which is multiplication by the function $\sqrt{2}s$, acting on $\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}$: if we let χ_j be such that $\{\chi_j \equiv 1\}$ is a covering of Ω (χ_p being included in the family), and φ_j a partition of unity subordinate to this covering, as in (2.2), and denote the function s defined in Section 3 locally on the support of each φ_j simply by $s(x,\rho)$ (keeping in mind this is a local description of s), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) =&\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2\pi)^4}\sum_j \varphi_j \int s(x,\rho)\chi_j\widehat{\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\ =&\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(2\pi)^4}\sum_j \varphi_j \int s(x,\rho)\widehat{\frac{\partial(\chi_j v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^{-\infty}v.\end{aligned}$$

The terms which are $O(\rho)$ in the first component of (5.4)

$$\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}(\chi_p v)$$

will be written with the operator, $\rho\circ\tau$ with symbol:

$$-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\xi_j\xi_k,$$

i.e,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}(\chi_p v) =&\rho\tau(v)\\ =&-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\sum_l\varphi_l\int\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\xi_j\xi_k\widehat{(\chi_l v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-\infty}v.\end{aligned}$$

Putting all this together we rewrite (5.1) in operator form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int(\eta^2+\xi^t E\xi)\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\widehat{\ }+i\eta\widehat{\chi_p g}\right]e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\ &\quad+\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+\sqrt{2}\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+A(v)+\rho\tau(v)=\Psi^0 v,\end{aligned}$$

which is valid in a small neighborhood of p, on which the $\Psi^1(\chi_p' v)$ term on the right-hand side of (5.1) is 0. Here we recall the identification of the operator Φ^0 with the matrix given by

$$\Phi^0_{M1}=\begin{bmatrix}\Phi^0_1 & \Phi^0_2\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$$

In order to apply a parametrix (over which we can integrate), we add to both sides a 0 order term:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int (1+\eta^2+\xi^t E\xi)\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left[\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v_1)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\widehat{\ }+i\eta\widehat{\chi_p g}\right]e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad +\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+\sqrt{2}\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+A(v)+\rho\tau(v)=\Psi^0 v. \qquad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi^2(x,\xi)=&\xi^t E\xi \\
=&\frac{1}{2}(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+4\xi_3^2)+O(x-p)
\end{aligned}$$

and apply a parametrix for the operator, $\Gamma = Op(1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi))$, whose principal term, $\Gamma^\sharp$, has as symbol

$$\sigma(\Gamma^\sharp)=\frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)} \qquad (5.7)$$

to both sides of (5.6). This leads to the equation in a small neighborhood of p:

$$\begin{aligned}
v=&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-2}(\chi_p g) \\
&-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ A(v)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ\rho\tau(v)+\Psi^{-2}v.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 4.1 we can now introduce the cutoff $\chi'(\xi)$ into the symbols:

$$\begin{aligned}
v=&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-2}(\chi_p g) \\
&-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ A(v)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ\rho\tau(v)+\Psi^{-2}v,
\end{aligned}$$

and then by expanding the symbol

$$\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}$$

we see that it has as principal symbol

$$\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}$$

so that we may write

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_p v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\\ &+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^{-2}(\chi_p g)\\ &-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ A(v)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ\rho\tau(v)+\Psi^{-2}v.\end{aligned}\tag{5.8}$$

We are now in position to prove a theorem about the Poisson operator. In order to consolidate the various smoothing terms which arise, we write $R_b^{-\infty}$ to include the restriction to $\rho = 0$ of any sum of smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to v, or smoothing operators in $\Psi_b^{-\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ applied to the boundary values g_b or $\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}$. We also write $R^{-\infty}$ to include any sum of smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to v, smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to $g_b\times\delta(\rho)$ or $\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)$, or operators in $\Psi^{-k}(\Omega)$ for $k\geq 1$ which satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 applied to $R_b^{-\infty}$ (such terms can thus be estimated in terms of smooth boundary terms) as well as smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to $R_b^{-\infty}$. From the definitions we have $R\left(R^{-\infty}\right) = R_b^{-\infty}$.

We define the Poisson operator corresponding to $\Box'$ as a solution operator, P mapping $(0,1)$-forms on $\partial\Omega$ to $(0,1)$-forms on Ω, to

$$\begin{aligned}2\Box'\circ P &= R^{-\infty}\\ R\circ P &= I + R_b^{\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let g_b be a $(0,1)$-form on $\partial\Omega$; each component, g_b^j, for $j=1,2$, is a distribution supported on $\partial\Omega$. Let $g = g_b(x)\times\delta(\rho)$ in local coordinates. Then*

$$Pg = \Psi^{-1}g.$$

Proof. From (5.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\ &-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\sqrt{2}\Gamma^\sharp\circ\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ A(v)-\Gamma^\sharp\circ\rho\tau(v)\\ &+\Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-2}v,\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

valid in a small neighborhood of p. The terms $\Gamma^\sharp \circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)$, $\Gamma^\sharp \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\Phi^0_{M1}(v)$, and $\Gamma^\sharp \circ A(v)$ contribute terms $\Psi^{-1}v$ and $\Psi^{-2}g$ (recall that Φ^0 is a tangential operator).

For the term

$$\Gamma^\sharp \circ \rho\tau(v) \tag{5.10}$$

we rearrange (5.9) as

$$\begin{aligned} v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0) + i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \rho\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^3\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\xi_j\xi_k}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widehat{\chi_p v}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-1}v \end{aligned}$$

as the terms involving the operators S and A are included in the last two remainder terms. We then bring the second term on the right to the left-hand side (redefining χ_p to have smaller support if necessary):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left(1-\rho\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^3\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\xi_j\xi_k}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\right)\widehat{\chi_p v}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta =& \\ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta& \\ +\Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-1}v,& \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

valid in a neighborhood contained in the set where $\chi_p \equiv 1$. For small enough ρ the symbol

$$1-\rho\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^3\tau^{jk}(x,\rho)\xi_j\xi_k}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)} \tag{5.12}$$

is non-zero, and so we can apply a parametrix of the operator with symbol (5.12) to both sides of (5.11). We note the symbol of such an operator is of the form $1+O(\rho)$, where $O(\rho)$ refers to a symbol of class $\mathcal{S}^0(\Omega)$, whose symbol is $O(\rho)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int(1+O(\rho))\,\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+\Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-1}v. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

From Lemma 4.6 we have that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int O(\rho)\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta$$
$$=\Psi^{-3}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g.$$

Returning to (5.13) we write

$$v=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta$$
$$+\Psi^{-3}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-1}v. \tag{5.14}$$

An immediate consequence of (5.14) is obtained by summing over a partition of unity; a family $\{\chi_j\}_j$ is chosen so that $\cup_j\{\chi_j\equiv 1\}=\Omega$ and a partition of unity, $\{\varphi_j\}_j$ subordinate to $\{\chi_j\equiv 1\}_j$ is chosen so that for each pair, φ_j,χ_j an equation of the form (5.14) holds:

$$\varphi_j v=\frac{\varphi_j}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_j v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)+i\eta\widetilde{\chi_j g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta$$
$$+\Psi^{-3}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-2}g+\Psi^{-1}v.$$

Summing over j (and inverting an operator of the form $I-\Psi^{-1}$) gives an expression for v on Ω:

$$v=\Psi^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+\Psi^{-1}g+\Psi^{-\infty}v, \tag{5.15}$$

as a vector-valued relation, with matrix-valued pseudodifferential operators.

Using the residue calculus, we can take the inverse transform in (5.14) with respect to η. For $\rho \to 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi i \left(\int \frac{\chi'(\xi)}{2i|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial \rho}}(\xi,0) e^{ix\xi} d\xi - \int \chi'(\xi) \frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{2i|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\zeta) e^{ix\xi} d\xi \right) \\
&+ R\Psi^{-3} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \times \delta(\rho) \right) + R\Psi^{-2} g + R\Psi^{-1} v \\
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \left(\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{2|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial \rho}}(\xi,0) - \chi'(\xi) \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi) \right) e^{ix\xi} d\xi \\
&+ \Psi_b^{-2} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \right) + \Psi_b^{-1} g_b + R\Psi^{-1} v,
\end{aligned}$$

where we apply Lemma 4.3 to the terms with operators $R\Psi^{-3}$ and $R\Psi^{-2}$ in the second step. This gives (after multiplying by an inverse to the boundary operator with symbol $\chi'(\xi)/|\Xi(x,\xi)|$)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}(x,0) = \int \chi'(\xi) |\Xi(x,\xi)| \widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi + \Psi_b^0 g_b + \Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-1} v, \tag{5.16}$$

valid in a small neighborhood of p. Alternatively, we could in a similar manner use the residue calculus to take an inverse transform with respect to η in (5.14) and calculate for $\rho \to 0^-$ with the same result.

For the term $\Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-1} v$ we insert (5.15) in the argument:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-1} v =& \Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-1} \left(\Psi^{-2} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \times \delta(\rho) \right) + \Psi^{-1} g + \Psi^{-\infty} v \right) \\
=& \Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-3} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \times \delta(\rho) \right) + \Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-2} g + \Psi_b^1 R\Psi^{-\infty} v \\
=& \Psi_b^1 \Psi_b^{-2} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \right) + \Psi_b^1 \Psi_b^{-1} g_b + R\Psi^{-\infty} v \\
=& \Psi_b^{-1} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \right) + \Psi_b^0 g_b + R_b^{-\infty} v.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.16) above leads to the well-known result that the DNO is a first order operator on the boundary data, with principal term $|\Xi(x,\xi)|$:

$$\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} = \int \chi'(\xi) |\Xi(x,\xi)| \widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi) e^{ix\xi} d\xi + \Psi_b^0 g_b + \Psi_b^{-1} \left(\left. \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} \right) + R_b^{-\infty} v.$$

Once again summing both expressions (which are valid in small neighborhoods of p) over an appropriate partition of unity and solving for (the vector) $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}$, absorbing extra

$$\Psi_b^{-\infty}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\right)$$

terms into the remainder term $R_b^{-\infty}$ leads to the expression for the first component:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = |D| g_b + \Psi_b^0 g_b + R_b^{-\infty} \tag{5.17}$$

where $|D|$ is defined as the first order operator with symbol locally given by $\sigma(|D|) = |\Xi(x,\xi)|$.

We can now insert (5.17) in (5.14), and redefining χ_p if necessary, we obtain in a small neighborhood of p

$$\begin{aligned} v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{(|\Xi(x,\xi)|+i\eta)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)} e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \Psi^{-3}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) + \Psi^{-2}g + \Psi^{-1}v + \Psi^{-2}R_b^{-\infty} \\ =& \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|} e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \Psi^{-3}\left((\Psi_b^1 g_b + R_b^{-\infty})\times\delta(\rho)\right) + \Psi^{-2}g + \Psi^{-1}v + R^{-\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which we write as

$$v = \Psi^{-1}g + \Psi^{-1}v + R^{-\infty}, \tag{5.18}$$

after summing over an appropriate partition of unity. We thus obtain

$$v = \Psi^{-1}g + R^{-\infty},$$

and we take $P(g)$ to be given by the Ψ^{-1} operator:

$$P(g) = \Psi^{-1}g.$$

□

From the proof of the Theorem we also have the principal symbol of the operator Ψ^{-1} acting on $g_b \times \delta(\rho)$; it is given locally by

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|} & 0 \\ 0 & i\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{5.19}$$

which we note for future reference. Using the representation as in (5.17),

$$\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\partial\Omega} = \Psi^1_b g_b + R^{-\infty}_b, \tag{5.20}$$

we can obtain with Lemma 4.2 the following estimates for the Poission operator:

Theorem 5.2. *For $s \geq 0$*

$$\|P(g)\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|g_b\|_{W^s(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Let v be a solution to $2\Box' v = 0$, with the boundary condition $v\big|_{\partial\Omega} = g_b$, modulo smooth terms. As in Theorem 4.9 (see also (5.15)) v can be written as

$$v = \Gamma^{-1}\left(\Psi^1_t g + \Psi^0_t v'\right), \tag{5.21}$$

where Γ^{-1} represents a parametrix of the interior operator $2\Box'$, where Ψ^j_t for $j = 0, 1$ are tangential pseudodifferential operators of order 0 and 1, respectively, and where

$$v' = \left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\partial\Omega} \times \delta(\rho).$$

Now using (5.20) in (5.21) we have

$$v = \Gamma^{-1}\left(\Psi^1_t g\right) + \Gamma^{-1} R^{-\infty}_b,$$

and so we can take $P(g)$ to be the solution given by

$$P(g) = \Gamma^{-1}\left(\Psi^1_t g\right).$$

As in Theorem 4.9 we can expand the symbol of the inverse operator, Γ^{-1}, as well as symbol of Ψ^1_t in powers of ρ (if applicable) and reduce the estimates to the highest order term (the highest term in the Γ^{-1} expansion and the constant term in the ρ expansion of Ψ^1_t) given by $\Gamma_0^{-1}\left(\Psi^1_0 g\right)$, where Γ_0^{-1} is the operator of the principal symbol of $\sigma(\Gamma^{-1})$, and $\Psi^1_0 = R \circ \Psi^1_t$. The estimates of Lemma 4.2 apply to Γ_0^{-1} (as well as other terms in the expansion of Γ^{-1}, and the remainder terms can chosen smoothing enough to be estimated by $\|g_b\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}$ as in Theorem 4.9). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\Omega)} &\lesssim \left\|\Gamma_0^{-1}\left(\Psi^1_0 g\right)\right\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\Omega)} + \|g_b\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\lesssim \|g_b\|_{W^s(\partial\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

□

Included in the proof of Theorem 5.1 is the calculation of the highest order term of the DNO; from (5.17) we have in particular the first component of the DNO, which we write as, N^-:

Theorem 5.3.

$$N^- g = |D| g_b + \Psi^0_b(g_b) + R^{-\infty}_b. \tag{5.23}$$

We now want to write out the highest order terms included in $\Psi_b^0(g)$ in (5.23). We apply Theorem 5.3 to (5.6), using the notation

$$\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\rho=0} = |D| g_b + \Lambda_b^0(g_b)$$

modulo smooth terms. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int (1+\eta^2+\xi^t E\xi)\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\left[\Big(|\Xi(x,\xi)|+i\eta\Big)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)+\widetilde{\chi_p\Lambda_b^0 g_b}(\xi)\right]e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad +\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+\sqrt{2}\Phi_{M1}^0\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+A(v)+\rho\tau(v)=\Psi^0 v,
\end{aligned}$$

valid in a small neighborhood of p. A parametrix for the operator with symbol $1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)$ has as symbol

$$\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}-i\chi'(\xi)\sum_{j=1}^{3}\frac{\left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^tE\xi+\xi^tEe_j\right)}{\left(1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)\right)^3},$$

where e_j refers to the vector whose j^{th} component is 1 and other components are 0, modulo symbols in $\mathcal{S}^{-4}(\Omega)$. Thus, applying a parametrix of the operator with symbol $1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)$, and expanding

$$\frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}=\frac{1}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}-\frac{1}{\left(\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)\right)^2}+\cdots$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned}
v=&\frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta+\Psi^{-3}g \\
&+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^tE\xi+\xi^tEe_j\right)}{\left(\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)\right)^2\left(\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|\right)}\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&+\frac{i}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^tE\xi+\xi^tEe_j\right)}{\left(\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)\right)^2|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&+\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p\Lambda_b^0 g_b}(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&-\Gamma^\sharp\circ\left(\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+\sqrt{2}\Phi_{M1}^0\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right)+A(v)+\rho\tau(v)\right)+\Psi^{-2}v,
\end{aligned}$$

in a small neighborhood of p.

We then restrict to $\rho = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_b =& g_b - \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{16}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^t E\xi + \xi^t E e_j\right)}{\Xi^4(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p \Lambda_b^0 g_b}(\xi)}{2|\Xi(x,\xi)|}e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\ &- R\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ\left(\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + \sqrt{2}\Phi_{M1}^0\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + A(v) + \rho\tau(v)\right) + \Psi_b^{-2}g_b + R_b^{-\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\Lambda_b^0 g_b$ (by inverting the operator with symbol $1/|\Xi|$) gives locally (in a small neighborhood of p)

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_b^0 g_b =& \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{8}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^t E\xi + \xi^t E e_j\right)}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|^3}\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\ &+ 2|D|R\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ\left(\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + \sqrt{2}\Phi_{M1}^0\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + A(v) + \rho\tau(v)\right) \\ &+ \Psi_b^{-1}g_b + R_b^{-\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

where we recall the operator $|D|$ from Theorem 5.3.

We start with the term

$$|D|R\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) = R\circ|D|\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right).$$

The operator $|D|\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ S$ has as principal symbol:

$$\chi'(\xi)\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,\rho),$$

We next obtain an expression for $\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}$ which we can insert into the operator S. From the remark after Theorem 5.1 (see (5.19)) we locally have

$$v = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^{-2}g + R^{-\infty}.$$

With the operator Θ^+ defined by

$$\sigma(\Theta^+) = i\frac{1}{\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|},$$

we can write this as

$$v = \Theta^+ g + \Psi^{-2}g + R^{-\infty}$$

We remark that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}$ in the operator S is meant as the restriction of $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}$ to the lower half plane, and

$$\begin{aligned}
S\left(\chi_p \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right) =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int s(x,\rho)\widehat{\chi_p \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}} e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int s(x,\rho)\widehat{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial \rho}} e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^0 v \\
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int s(x,\rho)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \times \delta(\rho) + \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int s(x,\rho)\eta\widehat{\chi_p v}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \Psi^0 v \\
=& S(g) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\circ S(v) + \Psi^0 v \\
=& S(g) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\circ S\circ \Theta^+ g + \Psi^{-1} g.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $|D|\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)$, modulo $R^{-\infty}$ terms, is an operator on g with principal symbol

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi'(\xi)\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0) + \chi'(\xi)\sigma(\Theta^+)\frac{i\eta|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0) \\
&\qquad = \chi'(\xi)\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0) - \chi'(\xi)\frac{1}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\frac{\eta|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma 4.6 to show factors of ρ in the symbol $s(x,\rho)$ lead to lower order terms. Modulo $\Psi_b^{-1}(g_b)$ and $R_b^{-\infty}$ terms, we have locally in a small neighborhood of p

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi d\eta =& \frac{2\pi i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{2i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{2}\int \chi'(\xi)s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

And similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{1}{\eta+i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\frac{\eta|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi d\eta \\
=& \frac{2\pi i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{1}{2i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\frac{i\Xi^2(x,\xi)}{2i\Xi(x,\xi)}s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\
=& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{4}\int \chi'(\xi)s(x,0)\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus see that modulo $\Psi_b^{-1}(g_b)$ and $R_b^{-\infty}$, $R\circ|D|\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)$ is an operator acting on g_b with principal symbol $\frac{1}{4}s_0(x) := \frac{1}{4}s(x,0)$.

The term $R \circ |D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ \Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)$ is handled similarly, and we have $R \circ |D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ \Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)$ is an operator acting on g_b with principal symbol a matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}\phi_1(x,\xi) & \frac{1}{4}\phi_2(x,\xi) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

again modulo $R_b^{-\infty}$, recalling the correspondence of the operator Φ^0_{M1} with a matrix with only non-zero entries in the first row.

Similarly, $|D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ A\,(v)$ is an operator on g (modulo terms in $R^{-\infty}$) and has as principal symbol

$$\chi'(\xi)\frac{i}{\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}a(x,0,\xi),$$

where $a(x,0,\xi)$ is a matrix of symbols of the form

$$a(x,0,\xi) = \sum_j \alpha_0^j(x)\xi_j - 2\begin{bmatrix} \xi_3\phi_1(x,\xi) & \xi_3\phi_2(x,\xi) \\ \sigma(L_1)\phi_1(x,\xi) & \sigma(L_1)\phi_2(x,\xi) \end{bmatrix},$$

$\alpha_0^j(x)$ itself being a matrix of symbols (functions) stemming from the first order tangential derivatives contained in $\Box_\rho$ (see (3.4)). And thus $R \circ |D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ A(v)$ is an operator acting on g_b (modulo $R_b^{-\infty}$) with principal symbol

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_0^j(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\begin{bmatrix} \xi_3\phi_1(x,\xi) & \xi_3\phi_2(x,\xi) \\ \sigma(L_1)\phi_1(x,\xi) & \sigma(L_1)\phi_2(x,\xi) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Other terms coming from derivatives with coefficients in $O(\rho)$ in the expansion of T lead to lower order operators as in Lemma 4.6.

For the term $|D|R \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ \rho\tau(v)$, we first need the symbol of the operator given by the symbol of the operator $\rho\tau$ when restricted to distributions supported on the boundary; the symbolic calculus is *not* straightforward due to the factor of ρ and Lemma 4.6 as the order of the operators matters! From the proof of Lemma 4.6 and (5.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int \rho\tau(x,\rho,\xi)\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \tau(x,0,\xi)\chi'(\xi)\frac{\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)}{(\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|)^2}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\end{aligned}$$

plus terms in $\Psi^{-3}g$ and $R^{-\infty}$. Then, using similar calculations as those above, we have that $|D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ \rho\tau\left(\chi_p v\right)$ is an operator on g (modulo $R^{-\infty}$) with principal symbol

$$-\chi'(\xi)\frac{1}{(\eta + i|\Xi(x,\xi)|)^2}\frac{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}{\eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}\sum_{j,k=1}^3 \tau^{jk}(x,0)\xi_j\xi_k,$$

and we finally have $R \circ |D| \circ \Gamma^\sharp \circ \rho\tau\left(\chi_p v\right)$ is given by the operator with principal symbol

$$\frac{1}{8}\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^3 \tau^{jk}(x,0)\xi_j\xi_k}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} := \frac{1}{8}\frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^3 \tau_0^{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}$$

modulo $R_b^{-\infty}$.

Putting everything together in

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_b^0 g_b =& \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{8}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^t E\xi + \xi^t E e_j\right)}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|^3}\widetilde{\chi_p g_b}(\xi)e^{ix\xi}d\xi \\ &+ 2|D|R\circ\Gamma^\sharp\circ\left(\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + \sqrt{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\Phi_{M1}^0(\chi_p v) + A(v) + \rho\tau(v)\right) \\ &+ \Psi_b^{-1}g_b + R_b^{-\infty},\end{aligned}$$

from (5.24) gives

Theorem 5.4. *Modulo pseudodifferential operators of order -1, the symbol for N^- is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(N^-)(x,\xi) =& |\Xi(x,\xi)| + \frac{i}{8}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\xi^t(\partial_{x_j}E)\xi\right)\left(e_j^t E\xi + \xi^t E e_j\right)}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|^3} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}s_0(x) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_0^j(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\tau_0^{jk}(x)\xi_j\xi_k}{\Xi^2(x,\xi)} \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\phi_1(x,\xi) & \phi_2(x,\xi)\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\begin{bmatrix}\xi_3\phi_1(x,\xi) & \xi_3\phi_2(x,\xi)\\ \sigma(L_1)\phi_1(x,\xi) & \sigma(L_1)\phi_2(x,\xi)\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

This reduces to Theorem 1.2 in [2] in the case $\Phi^0 \equiv 0$, the 0 operator.

6. The Green's operator

In this section we obtain an expression for the normal derivative restricted to the boundary of the first component of the solution to the inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem, that is, we look at the normal derivative of the Green's operator restricted to the boundary. This expression is used when the normal derivative of the Green's operator arises as a result of our boundary condition (3.2). We obtain an expression for $v = v_1\bar{\omega}_1 + v_2\bar{\omega}_2$ which solves

$$\begin{aligned}2\Box' v =& g \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ v =& 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega,\end{aligned}$$

for a $(0,1)$-form, $g = g_1\bar{\omega}_1 + g_2\bar{\omega}_2$, modulo smoothing terms. The smoothing terms which arise are somewhat different than those in the case of the Poission operator, and we change the notation for these remainder terms as follows. We write $I_b^{-\infty}$ to include the restriction to $\rho = 0$ of any sum of smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to v or g, or smoothing operators in $\Psi_b^{-\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ applied

to $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}$. We also write $I^{-\infty}$ to include any sum of smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to v or g, or smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\Big|_{\rho=0} \times \delta(\rho)$, as well as smoothing operators in $\Psi^{-\infty}(\Omega)$ applied to $I_b^{-\infty}$. From the definitions we have $R\left(I^{-\infty}\right) = I_b^{-\infty}$.

We define the Green's operator corresponding to $2\Box'$ as a solution operator, G mapping $(0,1)$-forms on Ω to $(0,1)$-forms on Ω, to

$$2\Box' \circ G = I + I^{-\infty}$$
$$R \circ G = I_b^{-\infty}.$$

If χ_p is a smooth cutoff function with support in a small neighborhood of p and χ_p' a smooth cutoff such that $\chi_p' \equiv 1$ on supp χ_p, we have

$$2\Box'(\chi_p v) = \chi_p g + \Psi^1(\chi_p' v) \qquad \text{on } \Omega \tag{6.1}$$

$$\chi_p v = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{6.2}$$

(modulo smoothing terms) for $v = G(g)$.

We use the same notation and representation for the operators contained in $\Box'$ as in Section 5. We thus restrict (6.1) to a smaller neighborhood (on which $\chi_p \equiv 1$ and rewrite (6.1) on this neighborhood in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \left(1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)\right)\widehat{(\chi_p v)}(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \left[\left(\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)\widehat{\ }\right] e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&\qquad + \sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + \sqrt{2}\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + A(v) + \rho\tau(v) = \chi_p g + \Psi^0 v.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Again, we apply a parametrix for the operator, $\Gamma = Op(1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi))$, whose principal term, $\Gamma^\sharp$, has as symbol

$$\sigma(\Gamma^\sharp) = \frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}$$

to both sides of (6.3). From (6.3) we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \frac{\widehat{(\chi_p g)}(\xi,\eta)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\
&- \Gamma^\sharp\circ\left(\sqrt{2}S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + \sqrt{2}\Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right) + A(v) + \rho\tau(v)\right) \\
&+ \Psi^{-3}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\Big|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) + \Psi^{-3}g + \Psi^{-2}v
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

in a small neighborhood of p, where we use Lemma 4.1 in introducing the cutoff $\chi'(\xi)$ into the second term on the right as we did in Section 5. As in Section 5, the terms $\Gamma^\sharp \circ \left(S\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right) + \Phi^0_{M1}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right)\right)$ and $\Gamma^\sharp \circ A(v)$ are of the form $\Psi^{-1}v$. We follow Section 5 in bringing the term

$$\Gamma^\sharp \circ \rho\tau(v) \tag{6.5}$$

to the left-hand side, and restrict ourselves to a neighborhood with ρ sufficiently small so that the symbol, given by (5.12), of the resulting operator on the left-hand side is non-zero, and so we can apply a parametrix (see (5.13)) to obtain in a small neighborhood of p (where χ_p is redefined with smaller support if necessary)

$$\begin{aligned} v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int (1+O(\rho))\frac{\widehat{(\chi_p g)}(\xi,\eta)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int (1+O(\rho))\,\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) + \Psi^{-3}g + \Psi^{-\infty}v, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $O(\rho)$ refers to a symbol of class $\mathcal{S}^0(\Omega)$, whose symbol is $O(\rho)$.

From Lemma 4.6 we have that

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int O(\rho)\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta = \Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right),$$

and so we write (6.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} v =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int (1+O(\rho))\,\frac{\widehat{(\chi_p g)}(\xi,\eta)}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta \\ &+ \Psi^{-3}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) + \Psi^{-3}g + \Psi^{-\infty}v. \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

We thus have the expression

$$v = \Psi^{-2}g + \Psi^{-2}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right) + I^{-\infty},$$

where the principal symbol of the Ψ^{-2} operators is given by

$$(1+O(\rho))\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1+\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives an expression, modulo smoothing terms, for the Green's solution in terms of the data function as well as the restriction to the boundary of the normal derivative of the solution. We are interested in the normal derivative at the boundary of the Green's solution:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\Theta^- \in \Psi^{-1}(\Omega)$ be the operator with symbol*

$$\sigma(\Theta^-) = \frac{i}{\eta - i|\Xi(x,\xi)|}.$$

Then

$$R\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} \circ G(g) = R \circ \Theta^-(g) + R \circ \Psi^{-2} g + I_b^{-\infty}. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. We apply a normal derivative to (6.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} =& \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int (1 + O(\rho)) \, \frac{i\eta}{1 + \eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)} \widehat{(\chi_p g)}(\xi,\eta) e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \chi'(\xi) \frac{i\eta}{\eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)} \widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi, 0) e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
&+ \Psi^{-2}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0} \times \delta(\rho) \right) + \Psi^{-2} g + I^{-\infty} v,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

valid in a small neighborhood of p. We now calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int (1 + O(\rho)) \frac{i\eta}{1 + \eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)} \widehat{(\chi_p g)}(\xi,\eta) e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int (1 + O(\rho)) \, \frac{i\eta}{1 + \eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)} \int_{-\infty}^0 (\widetilde{\chi_p g})(\xi, t) e^{-it\eta} dt e^{ix\xi} e^{i\rho\eta} d\xi d\eta \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int (1 + O(\rho)) \int_{-\infty}^0 (\widetilde{\chi_p g})(\xi, t) \frac{i\eta e^{i(\rho - t)\eta}}{1 + \eta^2 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)} d\eta dt e^{ix\xi} d\xi \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \int (1 + O(\rho)) \, \chi'(\xi) \times \\
&\qquad \int_{-\infty}^0 \big(\operatorname{sgn}(t - \rho)\big) (\widetilde{\chi_p g})(\xi, t) e^{-|\rho - t|\sqrt{1 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}} dt e^{ix\xi} d\xi,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

which, in the limit $\rho \to 0$, tends to

$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{-\infty}^0 (\widetilde{\chi_p g})(\xi, t) e^{t\sqrt{1 + \Xi^2(x,\xi)}} dt e^{ix\xi} d\xi.$$

Similarly, using the residue calculus, we have, for $\rho < 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\int \chi'(\xi)\frac{i\eta}{\eta^2+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{i\rho\eta}d\xi d\eta\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{2}\int \chi'(\xi)\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}e^{\rho|\Xi(x,\xi)|}d\xi\\
&\qquad\to\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{2}\int \chi'(\xi)\widetilde{\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}}(\xi,0)e^{ix\xi}d\xi\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\chi_p v)}{\partial\rho}(x,0)+I_b^{-\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, letting $\rho\to 0^-$ in (6.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}(x,0) =& -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int(\widehat{\chi_p g})\left(\xi, i\sqrt{1+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\right)e^{ix\xi}d\xi\\
&+R\circ\Psi^{-2}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)+R\circ\Psi^{-2}g+I_b^{-\infty}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int(\widehat{\chi_p g})\left(\xi, i\sqrt{1+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}\right)e^{ix\xi}d\xi =\\
&\qquad\frac{i}{(2\pi)^4}\int\frac{1}{\eta-i\sqrt{1+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}}(\widehat{\chi_p g})(\xi,\eta)e^{ix\xi}d\xi d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing a function $\chi'(\xi)$ can be shown to produce only smoothing error terms (this can be seen by differentiating the difference under the integral as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, and furthermore an expansion of

$$i\frac{\chi'(\xi)}{\eta-i\sqrt{1+\Xi^2(x,\xi)}}$$

shows that its principal symbol is that of $\sigma(\Theta^-)(x,\xi,\eta)$. Thus, the first term on the right-hand side of (6.11) can be written as

$$R\circ\Theta^-(g)+R\circ\Psi^{-2}g+I_b^{-\infty}.$$

Furthermore, using

$$R\circ\Psi^{-2}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\times\delta(\rho)\right)=\Psi_b^{-1}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\right)$$

from Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}(x,0)=R\circ\Theta^-(g)+\Psi_b^{-1}\left(\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho=0}\right)+R\circ\Psi^{-2}g+I_b^{-\infty}$$

in a neighborhood of p. □

We note that by Lemma 4.2 we have the following estimates for the Green's operator:

Theorem 6.2.

$$\|G(g)\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|g\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Let $v =$ be a solution to $\Box' v = g$, with Dirichlet boundary conditions modulo smooth terms. As in Theorem 4.9 v can be written as

$$v = \Gamma^{-1}\left(2g + v'\right), \tag{6.12}$$

where Γ^{-1} represents a parametrix of the interior operator $2\Box'$, and where

$$v' = \left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}\right|_{\partial\Omega} \times \delta(\rho).$$

We obtain from Theorem 6.1,

$$R\frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} = R \circ \Psi^{-1} g + I_b^{-\infty}.$$

Inserting this expression into (6.12) we derive the following expression of the Green's solution operator:

$$v = \Psi^{-2} g + \Psi^{-2}\left(R \circ \Psi^{-1} g\right) + \Gamma^{-1} I_b^{-\infty}.$$

And thus we take $G(2g)$ to be given by

$$G(2g) = \Psi^{-2} g + \Psi^{-2}\left(R \circ \Psi^{-1} g\right),$$

where both Ψ^{-2} operators are inverses to elliptic operators of order 2 for which the estimates of Lemma 4.2 apply. Taking Sobolev norms gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G(2g)\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \lesssim & \left\|\Psi^{-2} g\right\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} + \left\|\Psi^{-2}\left(R \circ \Psi^{-1} g\right)\right\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \\
\lesssim & \|g\|_{W^{s}(\Omega)} + \left\|R \circ \Psi^{-1} g\right\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\
\lesssim & \|g\|_{W^{s}(\Omega)} + \left\|\Psi^{-1} g\right\|_{W^{s+1}(\Omega)} \\
\lesssim & \|g\|_{W^{s}(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

7. The boundary value problem

Following [2], we use a Green's operator and Poisson operator to reduce the following boundary value problem to the boundary:

$$\Box' u = f, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\Box' = \vartheta\bar\partial' + \bar\partial\vartheta$, and $\bar\partial'$ is the operator on $(0,1)$-forms given by

$$\bar\partial'(u_1\bar\omega_1 + u_2\bar\omega_2) = \bar\partial u + (\Phi^0_2 u_2)\bar\omega_1 \wedge \bar\omega_2,$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\overline{L}_2 u_1 - s_0 u_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_2 + \Phi^0_{b,2} u_2 = 0. \tag{7.2}$$

There are possibly many solutions to the boundary value problem (note that as stated we leave the Dirichlet type condition open in contrast to the $\bar\partial$-Neumann problem), and we will isolate one particular approximate solution.

With the solution u written $u = u_1\bar\omega_1 + u_2\bar\omega_2$, we write its restriction to $\partial\Omega$ as

$$u_b = u^1_b\bar\omega_1 + u^2_b\bar\omega_2.$$

We consider Equation 7.2 microlocally and look for solutions

$$u_b = u^-_b + u^0_b + u^+_b$$

where u^-_b can be written

$$u^-_b = u^{1,-}_b\bar\omega_1 + u^{2,-}_b\bar\omega_2$$

and $u^{j,-}_b$ are described in terms of pseudodifferential operators whose symbols have support in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$ (later these operators will be seen to have the form of compositions of the operators Ψ^- or Ψ^-_D with operators acting on the data form, f; we recall the convention that $\psi^-_D(\xi) \equiv 1$ on supp ψ^-). We of course have similar meanings for u^0 and u^+.

We recall the definition of the operator $\Phi^0_{b,2} \in \Psi^0(\partial\Omega)$ as the restriction to the boundary of a 0 order tangential operator:

$$\Phi^0_{b,2} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Psi^-_D \circ \left[\left(N^-_1\right)^{-1}, \overline{L}_1 \right] \circ N^-_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi^-_D \circ N^-_2 \tag{7.3}$$

modulo $\Psi^{-1}_b(\partial\Omega)$ terms, where N^-_1 is the $(1,1)$ entry of the DNO matrix operator and N^-_2 the $(1,2)$ entry. We will use the same notation, $\overline{L}_1$, also when the operator $\overline{L}_1$ is restricted to the boundary. The meaning will be clear according to the context. We first show that such an operator $\Phi^0_{b,2}$ exists, that we can choose a symbol $\phi_2(x,\xi)$ such that $\Phi^0_{b,2} = Op(\phi_2)$. This is not immediately obvious since N^-_2 itself depends on $\Phi^0_{b,2}$. From Theorem 5.4 we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sigma(N^-_2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha^j_{0,12}(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} - \frac{\phi_2(x,\xi)}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\right),$$

modulo $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\partial\Omega)$, where $\alpha^j_{0,lm}(x)$ is given by the $(1,2)$ entry of the matrix of symbols $\alpha^j_0(x)$ (defined as in Theorem 5.4). Using the symbol of N^-_2 in (7.3) we have the relation of symbols on the

support of ψ^-:

$$\phi_2(x,\xi) = b(x,\xi) + \frac{\phi_2(x,\xi)}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\right) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 a^j_{0,12}(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|},$$

where $b(x,\xi)$ is the symbol of the first operator on the right hand side of (7.3). Rearranging gives

$$\frac{\phi_2(x,\xi)}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\right) = b(x,\xi) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 a^j_{0,12}(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}.$$

In $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$ the factor $1-\sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}$ satisfies

$$1-\sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \geq 2.$$

We thus set $\phi_2(x,\xi)$ such that

$$\phi_2(x,\xi) = 2\psi^-_D(\xi)\frac{b(x,\xi) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{\sum_{j=1}^3 a^j_{0,12}(x)\xi_j}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}}{1-\sqrt{2}\frac{\xi_3}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}},$$

and this choice of $\phi_2(x,\xi)$ defines our $\Phi^0_{b,2}$ in (7.3).

The Green's operator

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

solves

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Box' \circ G &= I \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ R \circ G &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

modulo smooth terms, where R is the restriction to the boundary operator as above. If $f = f_1\bar{\omega}_1 + f_2\bar{\omega}_2$, we write

$$G(f) = G_1(f)\bar{\omega}_1 + G_2(f)\bar{\omega}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(f) &= G_{11}(f_1) + G_{12}(f_2) \\ G_2(f) &= G_{21}(f_1) + G_{22}(f_2). \end{aligned}$$

A solution to (7.1) under condition (7.2) is then given by

$$u = G(2f) + P(u_b), \tag{7.4}$$

where P is a Poisson's operator for the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Box' \circ P &= 0 \qquad \text{on } \Omega \\ R \circ P &= I \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

modulo smooth terms. As in Sections 5 and 6, we can localize the problem and operators and use coordinates (x, ρ) in a neighborhood of a boundary point. We write the boundary condition in terms of the first component of the DNO:

$$R \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} P_1(u_b) = N_1^- u_b^1 + N_2^- u_b^2.$$

Then locally we can write condition (7.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& R \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - iT^0 \right) u^1 - s_0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2 \\ =& R \circ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - iT^0 \right) \left(G_1(2f) + P_1(u_b) \right) - s_0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Theta^-(2f_1) + R \circ \Psi^{-2} f + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_1^- - iT^0 \right) u_b^1 - s_0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2, \end{aligned}$$

modulo the smooth terms $R_b^{-\infty}$ and $I_b^{-\infty}$, using Theorem 6.1 in the last line. We rewrite this as

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_1^- - iT^0 \right) u_b^1 - s_0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Theta^- f_1 + R \circ \Psi^{-2} f,$$

modulo the smooth terms $R_b^{-\infty}$ and $I_b^{-\infty}$.

Our approximate solution u, will be determined via (7.4) by its boundary values. We first use approximations for the Green's and Poission operators. The approximations, respectively, $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{P}$, are pseudodifferential operators of order -2 and -1, respectively, and agree up to high order with G and P, respectively. In particular the estimates of Theorems 5.2 and 6.2 hold as well for $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{P}$, respectively. With these approximations, the error terms $R_b^{-\infty}$ and $I_b^{-\infty}$ will also no longer play a role. Equation 7.4 is thus to be replaced with the approximation

$$u = \widetilde{G}(2f) + \widetilde{P}(u_b). \tag{7.5}$$

We work with $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{P}$ approximating G and P to high enough order that we have

$$\Box' \left(\widetilde{G}(2f) + \widetilde{P}(u_b) \right) = f + \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b$$

and on the boundary, the boundary condition, modulo $\Psi_b^{-1} u_b$, is a condition on

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{L}_2 u_1 - s_0 u_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2 \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Theta^-(2f_1) + R \circ \Psi^{-2} f + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_1^- - iT^0 \right) u_b^1 + \Psi_b^0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2. \end{aligned}$$

It will be seen that the 0 order operators on u_b^1 contribute error terms of the form $\Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f$. The idea is to choose u_b^1 and u_b^2 so that the right hand side of this equation on the boundary is sufficiently smooth, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_1^- - iT^0\right) u_b^1 + \Psi_b^0 u_b^1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^2 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Theta^- f_1 + R \circ \Psi^{-2} f, \tag{7.6}$$

modulo (sufficiently smooth) error terms.

We first look for solutions $u_b^{1,-}$ and $u_b^{2,-}$ for the equation corresponding to the region $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_1^- - iT^0\right) u_b^{1,-} + \Psi_b^0 u_b^{1,-} - \overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,-} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^{2,-} + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^{2,-} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \left(R \circ \Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^-}, \tag{7.7}$$

modulo error terms. We recall the notation from Section 2 in which we write $\left(R \circ \Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^-} = \Psi^- \left(R \circ \Theta^- f_1\right)$.

To find an approximate solution to (7.7) we first expand the right-hand side. The integrand of a term stemming from $R \circ \Theta^- f_1$ (near a given point p) given by

$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \widehat{\chi_p f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) e^{ix\xi} d\xi$$

can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\chi_p f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) =& \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widetilde{\chi_p f_1}(\xi, 0) - \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widehat{\frac{\partial \chi_p f_1}{\partial \rho}}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) \\
=& \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widetilde{\chi_p f_1}(\xi, 0) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widehat{\chi_p \overline{L}_2 f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) \\
& - i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widehat{\chi_p T f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) - \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|} \widehat{\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \chi_p\right] f_1\right)}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\bar{\partial}$-closed f, we have the relation

$$(\overline{L}_2 - s) f_1 - \overline{L}_1 f_2 = 0,$$

or, locally,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \rho} - iT f_1 = \overline{L}_1 f_2 + s f_1.$$

and so, for $\bar\partial f = 0$, the expansion of $\widehat{f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|)$ can be further written as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\chi_p f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) =& \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widetilde{\chi_p f_1}(\xi,0) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widehat{\chi_p \overline{L}_1 f_2}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|)\\ &- i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widehat{\chi_p T f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widehat{\chi_p {}^{\mathrm{s}} f_1}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|)\\ &- \frac{1}{|\Xi(x,\xi)|}\widehat{\left(\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}, \chi_p\right] f_1\right)}(\xi, i|\Xi(x,\xi)|),\end{aligned}$$

and thus, recalling that $|\Xi(x,\xi)|$ is the principal term of the operator N_1^-, we have

$$\begin{aligned}R\circ\Theta^- f_1 =& -\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ f_1 - \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right) - i\sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-(Tf_1)\\ &+ \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ f + \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}\circ\Psi^1 f + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1} f\\ =& -\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ f_1 - \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right) - i\sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ T^0\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1\\ &+ \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ f + \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}\circ\Psi^1 f + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1} f\end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- + iT^0\right) R\circ\Theta^- f_1 =& -\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ f_1 - \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right)\\ &+ \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ\Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1} f,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- + iT^0\right)\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1 =& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ f_1 + \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right)\right)\\ &+ \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ\Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1} f.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1 =& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ f_1 + \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right)\right)\\ &- 2iT^0\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1\\ &+ \Psi_b^{-2}\circ R\circ\Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1} f.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by setting

$$u_b^{1,-} = \Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1 \tag{7.8}$$

the relation (7.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi^- \circ \Big(\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ f_1 + \sqrt{2}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- \left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right) \Big) \\
\qquad - 2i\Psi^- \circ T^0 \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- f_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,-} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^{2,-} + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^{2,-} \\
= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Psi^- \circ \Theta^- f_1 + \Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f \\
= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ f_1 - 2\Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- \left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right) \\
\quad - 2i\Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ T^0 \circ R \circ \Theta^- f_1 + \Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f.
\end{aligned}$$

We thus look to find an appropriate $u_b^{2,-}$ which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,-} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} N_2^- u_b^{2,-} - \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^{2,-} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ f_1 + \Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- \left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ f_1^{\psi^-} + \Psi^- \circ \left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- \left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right), \qquad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

modulo error terms.

As f is $\bar{\partial}$-closed, there exists a $\phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\bar{\partial}\phi = f$ as in [7], and in particular we have

$$\overline{L}_1 \phi_b = R \circ f_1.$$

Thus, for $f \in W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap \ker(\bar{\partial})$, the condition $R \circ f_1 \in A_b^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ is satisfied and according to the hypothesis on the regularity of $\bar{\partial}_b$, we can find a $\phi_b' \in W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$\overline{L}_1 \phi_b' = R \circ f_1. \qquad (7.10)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\overline{L}_1 (\phi_b')^{\psi^-} = R \circ f_1^{\psi^-} + \Psi_0^0 \phi_b',$$

where $\Psi_0^0 = R \circ [\overline{L}_1, \Psi^-]$ is a zero order operator which has a symbol such that the projection of the support of which onto the second (transform) component is contained in $\mathcal{C}^0$ (and in fact has strictly positive distance to the part of the boundary $\partial\mathcal{C}^0 \cap \{-\frac{3}{4}|\xi_{1,2}| = \xi_3\}$). In general, we write Ψ_0^k to denote an operator of order k whose symbol is such that the projection of its support onto the second (transform) component is contained in $\mathcal{C}^0$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1} R\circ f_1^{\psi^-} =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\overline{L}_1(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'\\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right](\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'\\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right]\circ N_1^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}\\ &+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'+\Psi_b^{-\infty}\phi_b'.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^-\left(\overline{L}_1 f_2\right)=&\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ\overline{L}_1\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2+\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f\\ =&\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2+\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f.\end{aligned}$$

We can thus write (7.9) as

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,-}-&\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_2^- u_b^{2,-}-\Phi_{b,2}^0 u_b^{2,-}=\\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right]\circ N_1^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}\\ &+\Psi^-\circ\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2+\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'+\Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b'\\ &=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{L}_1\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right]\circ N_1^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}(\phi_b')^{\psi^-}\\ &+\overline{L}_1\circ\Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2+\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'+\Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b'\\ &=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{L}_1\circ\Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\phi_b'+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right]\circ N_1^-\circ\Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\phi_b'\\ &+\overline{L}_1\circ\Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2+\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f+\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'+\Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b'.\end{aligned}\tag{7.11}$$

From the definition of the operator $\Phi_{b,2}^0$ in (3.3), the left hand side of (7.11) can be written

$$\overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,-}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1},\overline{L}_1\right]\circ N_1^- u_b^{2,-}.$$

We thus set

$$u_b^{2,-}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi^-\circ\left(N^-\right)^{-1}\phi_b'+\Psi^-\circ\left(N^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_2,$$

which is seen to satisfy (7.11) modulo $\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'$, which will have to come from the solution u_b^0, and the error terms $\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f$ and $\Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b'$.

We now turn to the boundary equations involving $u_b^{j,+}$ for $j=1,2$, and look to solve

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)u_b^{1,+}+\Psi_b^0 u_b^+-\overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,+}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^+}\tag{7.12}$$

modulo error terms involving f.

In $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right) =& \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\Xi(x,\xi)| + \xi_3\\ \gtrsim& |\xi|,\end{aligned}$$

and since there exists a $c > 0$ such that $\xi_3 > c$ in supp ψ^+, we can find a type of inverse to the operator $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0$. With this in mind we define the symbol

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{\psi_D^+}(x,\xi) =& \frac{\psi_D^+(\xi)}{\sigma_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)}\\ =& \frac{\psi_D^+(\xi)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\Xi(x,\xi)| + \xi_3},\end{aligned}$$

where ψ_D^+ is defined in analogy to ψ_D^-. Namely, ψ_D^+ has the properties $\psi_D^+(\xi) \in C^\infty(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^-)$, $\psi_D^+(\xi) = \psi_D^+(\xi/|\xi|)$ for $|\xi| \geq 1$, and such that $\psi_D^+ \equiv 1$ on supp ψ^+. Also, the restriction to the disc, $\psi_D^+\big|_{\{|\xi|\leq 1\}}$, has relatively compact support in in the interior of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$

Then the composition of operators

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right) \circ Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})$$

has as symbol

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma\left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right) \circ Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})\right] =& \sigma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)\sigma(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})\\ =& \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\Xi(x,\xi)| + \xi_3\right)\frac{\psi_D^+(\xi)}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\Xi(x,\xi)| + \xi_3}\\ =& \psi_D^+(\xi)\end{aligned}$$

modulo $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\partial\Omega)$. Furthermore, the same calculations give

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right) \circ \Psi^+ \circ Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+}) = \Psi^+$$

modulo $\Psi^{-1}(\partial\Omega)$.

We thus choose $u_b^{1,+}$ according to

$$u_b^{1,+} = \left[Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}R \circ \Theta^- f_1\right)\right]^{\psi^+}. \tag{7.13}$$

Then, from above,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)u_b^{1,+} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)\circ\Psi^+\circ Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)\\ &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^+} + \Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f.\end{aligned}$$

Then with $u_b^{1,+}$ according to (7.13) and with $u_b^{2,+}=0$, (7.12) is satisfied, modulo error terms of the form $\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f$.

It remains to choose u_b^0, for which we recall has to include a contribution to handle the error term, $\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'$ arising in the construction of $u_b^{j,-}$ in (7.11). In the region $\mathcal{C}^0$ we can invert the operator $\overline{L}_1$ in a similar way we dealt with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^+$ since

$$\sigma(\overline{L}_1)\gtrsim|\xi_1+i\xi_2|\gtrsim|\xi|.$$

Hence, the choice for $u_b^{1,0}$ and $u_b^{2,0}$ is analogous (but reversed) to the case of $u_b^{1,+}$ and $u_b^{2,+}$ above. Namely, we take $u_b^{1,0}=0$ and $u_b^{2,0}$ to be given by

$$u_b^{2,0} := Op(\beta^{\psi_D^0})\circ\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^0} + Op(\beta^{\psi_D^0})\circ\Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b', \tag{7.14}$$

where

$$\beta^{\psi_D^0}(x,\xi)=\frac{\psi_D^0(\xi)}{\sigma(\overline{L}_1)},$$

and $\psi_D^0(\xi)$ is defined so that $\psi_D^0(\xi)\in C^\infty(\mathcal{C}^0)$ and $\psi_D^0\equiv 1$ on supp ψ^0, with the additional condition that $\psi_D^0\equiv 1$ on the projection of supp $\left(\sigma\left(\Psi_0^{-1}\right)\right)$ onto $\mathcal{C}^0$ (here we make use of the assumption outlined in Section 2 that $\psi^-\equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}^-\cap(\mathcal{C}^0)^c$).

With $u_b^{1,0}$ and $u_b^{2,0}$ so chosen, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}N_1^- - iT^0\right)u_b^{1,0} + \Psi_b^0 u_b^0 - \overline{L}_1 u_b^{2,0} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)^{\psi^0} - \Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b'$$

modulo error terms of the form $R\circ\Psi^{-2}f$ and $\Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b'$.

The solution to (7.6) now comes from

$$u_b^j = u_b^{j,-} + u_b^{j,0} + u_b^{j,+}$$

for $j=1,2$. From above, we have the properties:

$$\begin{aligned}u_b^1 =&\Psi^-\circ\left(N_1^-\right)^{-1}\circ R\circ\Theta^- f_1 + \left[Op(\alpha^{\psi_D^+})\left(-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}R\circ\Theta^- f_1\right)\right]^{\psi^+}\\ =&\Psi_b^{-1}\circ R\circ\Psi^{-1}f\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

and

$$u_b^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi^- \circ \left(N^-\right)^{-1}\phi_b' + \Psi^- \circ \left(N^-\right)^{-1} \circ R \circ \Theta^- f_2 + Op(\beta^{\psi_D^0}) \circ \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}} R \circ \Theta f_1\right)^{\psi^0} - Op(\beta^{\psi_D^0}) \circ \Psi_0^{-1}\phi_b' = \Psi_b^{-1}\phi_b' + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f. \tag{7.16}$$

We now obtain estimates on our solution.

Theorem 7.1. *Let u be defined by* (7.5), (7.15), *and* (7.16). *Then u satisfies*

$$\Box' u = f + \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b \qquad \text{on } \Omega,$$

with the boundary relation

$$\overline{L}_2 u_1 - s_0 u_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_2 + \Phi_{b,2}^0 u_2 = R \circ \Psi^{-2} f + \Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi_b^{-2}\phi_b', \tag{7.17}$$

where ϕ_b' is defined as in (7.10). *Furthermore, we have the estimates*

$$\|u_b\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \tag{7.18}$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{s+1}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \tag{7.19}$$

for $s > 1/2$.

Proof. For u_b^1 defined as in (7.15) we have estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_b^1\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim& \|\Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|R \circ \Psi^{-1} f\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|\Psi^{-1} f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|f\|_{W^{s-1}(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

For u_b^2 defined as in (7.16) we have estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_b^2\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim& \left\|\Psi_b^{-1}\phi_b' + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f\right\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|\phi_b'\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \left\|\Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f\right\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|Rf\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|f\|_{W^{s-1}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim& \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we recall u as defined by (7.5):

$$u = \widetilde{G}(2f) + \widetilde{P}(u_b).$$

We can then use the estimate (7.18) in Theorems 5.2 and 6.2 to estimate the terms $\widetilde{G}(2f) + \widetilde{P}(u_b)$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \lesssim & \|\widetilde{G}(2f) + \widetilde{P}(u_b)\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^{s-2}(\Omega)} + \|u_b\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^{s-2}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{W^{s-1}(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

8. Construction of solution operators to $\bar\partial$

In this section we construct a solution to the equation $\bar\partial\phi = f$ with f a $(0,1)$-form and prove our Main Theorem. The form f in this section will therefore satisfy the compatibility condition $\bar\partial f = 0$. We prove the

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain. Let $f \in W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega)$ such that $\bar\partial f = 0$. Suppose there exists a solution operator, K_b such that $\bar\partial_b K_b g = g$ for $g \in A^0_b(\partial\Omega)$ and $K_b : A^s_b(\partial\Omega) \to W^s(\Omega)$ for all $s \geq 0$. Then there exists a solution operator, K, such that*

$$\bar\partial K f = f$$

with the continuity property $K : W^s_{(0,1)}(\Omega) \cap ker(\bar\partial) \to W^s(\Omega)$ for all $s > 1/2$.

We base our construction of the solution operator on our solution to the boundary value problem

$$\Box' u = f + \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b \qquad \text{on } \Omega, \tag{8.1}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\overline{L}_2 u_1 - s_0 u_1 - \overline{L}_1 u_2 + \Phi^0_{b,2} u_2 = \Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi_b^{-2} \phi_b', \tag{8.2}$$

with ϕ_b' given by (7.10). Theorem 7.1 gave estimates of our chosen solution. In addition we prove estimates for $\bar\partial' u$:

Lemma 8.2.

$$\|\bar\partial' u\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. On the boundary $\bar\partial' u$ has the property

$$\bar\partial' u\big|_{\partial\Omega} = R \circ \Psi^{-2} f + \Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f + \Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi_b^{-2} \phi_b' \tag{8.3}$$

by Theorem 7.1 and (7.17). Furthermore, we have the estimates

$$\|\bar\partial' u\big|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}$$

which follows from investigating each term on the right-hand side of (8.3). Terms of the form $\Psi_b^{-1} \circ R \circ \Psi^{-1} f$ are handled as in Theorem 7.1. Furthermore, we show

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_b^{-2} \circ R \circ \Psi^0 f\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim & \|R \circ \Psi^0 f\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|R \circ \Psi^{-2} f\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim & \|\Psi^{-2} f\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi_b^{-2} \phi_b'\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \lesssim & \|\phi_b'\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|R \circ f_1\|_{W^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

As u is a solution to

$$\Box' u = f + \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b \tag{8.4}$$

and as f is $\bar{\partial}$-closed, we can apply $\bar{\partial}$ to both sides of (8.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^0 f + \Psi^0 u_b = & \bar{\partial} \Box' u \\ = & \bar{\partial} \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\bar{\partial} \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u = \Psi^0 f + \Psi^0 u_b$$

with a Dirichlet condition with respect to $\bar{\partial}' u\big|_{\partial\Omega}$ given above. In terms of a Green's operator and Poisson's operator (on the level of $(0,2)$-forms with respect to the operator $\bar{\partial}\vartheta$; we denote these operators G^2 and P^2, respectively) we have

$$\bar{\partial}' u = G^2 \left(\Psi^0 f + \Psi^0 u_b \right) + P^2 \left(\bar{\partial}' u\big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

Theorems 6.2 and 5.2, or rather the case relating a combination of the Theorems in which estimates for the solution $v = G^2(g) + P^2(v_b)$ to the boundary value problem

$$\bar{\partial} \vartheta v = g$$

with boundary value $v\big|_{\partial\Omega} = v_b$ are given as

$$\|v\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|g\|_{W^s(\Omega)} + \|v_b\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)},$$

lead to the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\|\bar{\partial}' u\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} + \|\Psi^{-2} u_b\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} + \left\|\bar{\partial}' u|_{\partial\Omega}\right\|_{W^{s+3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} + \|u_b\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}\end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 7.1. Note that to apply the results of Lemma 4.2 it can be checked that the proof of the lemma can be applied to the operator Ψ^{-2} in the first line (it can since the operator is constructed from approximations to Green's and Poisson's operators whose symbols have simple poles with respect to the transform of the normal coordinate). □

Proof of Theorem 8.1. We first consider

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}(\vartheta u) =& \Box' u - \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u \\ =& f + \Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b - \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u.\end{aligned} \tag{8.5}$$

The term $\vartheta\bar{\partial}' u$ can be estimated by Lemma 8.2. The term $\Psi^{-1} u_b$ comes from the approximation of the Possion operator, and so is constructed from the inverse of an elliptic operator for which Lemma 4.2 applies, and from Theorem 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi^{-1} u_b\|_{W^{s+1}(\Omega)} \lesssim & \|u_b\|_{W^{s+1/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

For $\delta > 0$, we let the operator $S_{-\delta} : W^k(\Omega) \to W^{k-\delta}(\Omega)$ be the linear solution operator to

$$\bar{\partial} v = -\Psi^{-1} f - \Psi^{-1} u_b + \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u \tag{8.6}$$

(with the operators coming from (8.5)) given by Straube in [15] (see Theorem 5.3) (note that from (8.5) it follows that $-\Psi^{-1} f - \Psi^{-1} u_b + \vartheta\bar{\partial}' u$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed), i.e., with v defined by

$$v = S_{-\delta}\left(-\Psi^{-1} f - \Psi^{-1} u_b + \vartheta \bar{\partial}' u\right) \tag{8.7}$$

we have (8.6), and

$$\begin{aligned}\|v\|_{W^{s+1-\delta}(\Omega)} = & \left\|S_{-\delta}\left(\Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b - \vartheta\bar{\partial}' u\right)\right\|_{W^{s+1-\delta}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|\Psi^{-1} f + \Psi^{-1} u_b - \vartheta\bar{\partial}' u\|_{W^{s+1}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)} + \|\bar{\partial}' u\|_{W^{s+2}(\Omega)} \\ \lesssim & \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, from (8.5), we have the solution $\vartheta u + v$:

$$\bar{\partial}(\vartheta u + v) = f \tag{8.8}$$

with estimates

$$\|\vartheta u + v\|_{W^s(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^s(\Omega)}.$$

To write our solution operator, we recall the operators which went into the construction of our solution u. The solution u was written

$$u = \widetilde{P}(u_b) + \widetilde{G}(2f)$$

where u_b was chosen via (7.15) and (7.16). In order to stress the dependence on the data form, f, we write $u_b^1\bar{\omega}_1 + u_b^2\bar{\omega}_2$ together as $U_b(f)$, where U_b represents the operators on the right hand side of the expressions above for u_b^1 and u_b^2. Thus, the solution operator, which we define as N', to the boundary value problem (8.1) and (8.2) is given by

$$N'f = \widetilde{P}\left(U_b(f)\right) + \widetilde{G}(2f).$$

And finally, the solution operator, K, can be written according to (8.8) as

$$K(f) = \vartheta N'f + S_{-\delta}\left(f - \bar{\partial}\vartheta N'f\right)$$

As K consists of compositions of linear operators, so is K itself. □

REFERENCES

[1] D. Barrett. Behavior of the Bergmann projection on the Diederich-Fornæss worm. *Acta. Math.*, 168:1–10, 1992.

[2] Nagel A. Chang, D. C. and E. Stein. Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem in pseudoconvex domains of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Acta Math.*, 169:153–228, 1992.

[3] S. Chen and M. Shaw. *Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables*. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. American Mathematical Society and International Press, 2001.

[4] M. Christ. On the $\bar{\partial}$ equation for three-dimensional CR manifolds. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 52(3):63–82, 1991.

[5] M. Christ. Global C^∞ irregularity of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem for worm domains. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(4):1171–1185, 1996.

[6] S. Fu and E. Straube. Compactness of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann operator on convex domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 159:629–641, 1998.

[7] L. Hörmander. L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator. *Acta. Math.*, 113:89–152, 1965.

[8] J. Kohn and A. Nicoara. The $\bar{\partial}_b$ equation on weakly pseudoconvex CR manifolds of dimension 3. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 230(2):251–272, 2006.

[9] J.J. Kohn. Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex domains I. *Ann. Math.*, 78:112–148, 1963.

[10] J.J. Kohn. Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex domains II. *Ann. Math.*, 79:450–472, 1964.

[11] J.J. Kohn. Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudo-convex manifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 181:273–292, 1973.

[12] J.J. Kohn. Estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on pseudoconvex CR manifolds. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 43:207–217, 1985.

[13] Ewa Ligocka. The Sobolev spaces of harmonic functions. *Studia Math.*, 84:79–87, 1986.

[14] A. Nicoara. Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on weakly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 199:356–447, 199.

[15] E. Straube. *Lectures on the L^2-Sobolev theory of the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann problem*. ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics. European Mathematical Society, 2010.

[16] F. Treves. *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators*. The University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Press, 1980.

[17] Ž. Čučković and S. Şahutoğlu. Compactness of Hankel operators and analytic discs in the boundary of pseudoconvex domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256:3730–3742, 2009.

HOCHSCHULE MERSEBURG, EBERHARD-LEIBNITZ-STR. 2, D-06217 MERSEBURG, GERMANY
E-mail address: dehsani.math@gmail.com